NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

10c

Royal Oak, Michigan | October 27, 1941 | Subscription Three Dollars Per Year

10-MILLION BOYS FOR FOREIGN WAR!

(See Page 3)

British Hit, Run; Nazi Subs Attack U. S. Destroyers

(Story on Page 5)

Iowa Petitions Demand Lease-Lend for Farmers

(See Page 10)

Read: The Outlook, Some Advice About Investments

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ JOHN L. LEWIS AND THE C.I.O. ★ LABOR AND THE CURRIER LUMBER CASE

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Dinner Chat

Aid to Russia

POLITICIANS, New Dealers, pro-Britishers, Communists and some ecclesiastics are busy straining their lungs in shouting for all aid to Russia.

The Communists are honest about their all-aid-for-Russia program. They know what they are talking about. They know that the Russian government is the home and habitation of Communism. They know that the Third Internationale was formed and protected by the Russian government. They know that Communism is only an ideology but Russia is the place where it is practiced in full.

The rest of the war-mongers and all-aid-for-Russianites are straining to distinguish between Communism and the Russian government.

Let's not be so simple as to succumb to that sophistry.

Definitely, Russia is not Communism. But just as definitely, Communism is the accepted form of life in Russia. Just as definitely, Stalin and his commissars in government are Communists who desire to spread their virus throughout the international world.

Some people are so anxious to get along in this world and keep in the public eye that they will support Mr. Roosevelt's foreign policy because, by so doing, they will not be ostracized from the air-waves of radio stations.

Others find themselves in the New Deal conspiracy because it is the order of the day as far as certain members of the banking fraternity are concerned.

And a third class, like Canon Sheehan's *Luke Delmege*, are more British than they are Irish or American.

And this class, as well as others, forget that Stalin has perpetrated his persecutions during the past several years; has waged war on the free states of Esthonia, Latvia and Lithuania; has violated the territory of Finland; has incarcerated bishops, priests and laymen; and has murdered religious Poles by the thousands.

Imagine Father Coughlin swallowing all these atrocious facts in order to gain the graces of the New Deal dictator who would permit him to go back on the air.

There are times when silence is a virtue, even though the silenced one retains his dignity and his principles at the sacrifice of his fame and publicity.

Anti-War Rally

A PRO-AMERICAN anti-war rally, sponsored by the "Civic Educational Council" will be held Monday evening, October 7, at the auditorium of public school No. 6, Central and St. Paul avenues, Jersey City, New Jersey.

The principal speakers will be the Rev. Edward Lodge Curran, Ph. D., president of the International Catholic Truth Society, and William Lemke, former Congressman from North Dakota.

There will be no admission charge.

Speaking of Bonds

A LETTER appearing in several papers, a copy of which was sent to us, talks about Russian loans.

The letter-writer remarked:

"You are doubtless familiar with the Russian Imperial government 6½ per cent and 5½ per cent loans due 1919 and 1921 and amounting in total to $75-million and of the outrageous and unjust unconditional repudiation of this debt by the bolshevist government in 1919.

"At the time that our government recognized Russia it was understood that the bolshevist government would come to a settlement of this debt.

"The unconditional repudiation of the debt constituted nothing but wholesale robbery of American citizens, and despite this our state department has taken no action at the present opportune time to compell the Russian government to come to a settlement.

"American taxpayers' money—my money and your money—is at present being loaned and aid is being extended to Russia, while this repudiation stands in effect. The rights of American citizens stand unprotected and undefended, when by just a word from our state department the Russian government would cancel this unjust repudiation."

* * *

The writer could have drawn a parallel case to Russian loans.

He could have mentioned British loans.

While on this subject, may we remind our readers that more than six months ago we predicted that economic parity would exist between the United States and Canada.

We mean that the Canadian dollar will be accepted at par with the American dollar; that there will be some readjustment relative to customs barriers which now impede the transfer of raw materials and finished goods from one country to the other.

That this day is arriving faster than most economists and citizens realize is evidenced from what is transpiring in the Canadian bond market. Both Dominion bonds and Provincial bonds have been rising rapidly in price this past two months. This is due, in part, to the increased business which Canada enjoys. It is also due, in part, to American money being invested in Canada at a 10 per cent discount in favor of the Americans.

It will only be a matter of time till the 10 per cent discount will be cancelled; till the American and Canadian dollar will be at par.

Pal's Boss is 50, Who'd Think It?

HELLO, FOLKS:

Well, here it is the end of October alreddy—I guess one c'n safely say that Indian Summer's come 'n gone once again. It wasn't much uv a one 'round these parts, either. I was very disapointed, too, 'cause I do think Indian Summer is th' pretties' time uv th' year, don't you?

Some of them say y' can't have Indian Summer till it snows first. If so, we didn't have eny.

Not only has th' seezun changed, but Pal's Boss has seen annuther year come 'n go. Yep, he had annuther birthday Saturday, th' 25th, and it marked th' half-senchury mark f'r him.

I mus' say time has delt kindly with him, 'cause there isn't a rinkul in his face 'n not much gray in his hair. 'Course, he takes pretty good care uv that—he has th' barber cut it off's fast as it comes in. He'll hafta stop doin' that soon, though, 'cause he's jus' 'bout reeched th' highwater mark.

Th' last time I saw him he looked like some Indian'd come pretty close t' skalpin' him! As th' girrls in th' offus say (when he isn't around uv korse) he's no ad f'r th' barber shop he patronizus. But he looks good to Pal 'n me, jus' as he is, 'n we shure hope he'll be with us f'r annuther fifty years.

We didn't have much selebratin' f'r Father Coughlin's birthday. There was jus' a nice littul dinner party with a few uv his frien's t' wish him well.

Uv korse, his frien's from all over th' kuntry sent him lots 'n lots uv birthday greetings that shure made him feel happy 'n proud, too.

There aren't menny men who have gathered about them in fifty short years th' frien's that Father Coughlin has. They've been loyal ones, too, through all th' ups 'n downs that he has had durin' his kareer.

What is it I've herd—y' c'n get along without money (though it's hard t' beleeve) but y' can't get along without frien's.

Well, if Father Coughlin meshures his welth by his frien's—why ol' Midas was a pawper beside him!

Well, I haven't much space t' day, so I guess I'll skip along. Las' week th' edditer said I was too long-winded, so I'll make up f'r it t'day.

I'll see y' soon again. In th' meentime, don't f'rget 'bout that Chrismus gift subskripshun sug-jestion, will y'?

—Pete

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

Simplification of Government and lower taxes.

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE National Weekly. Copyrighted 1941 by the Social Justice Publishing Company, publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 8, October 27, 1941 No. 17

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name _____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

A 10-Million Army for Offense

ACCORDING to available figures on costs of ordnance and other fighting equipment, the United States is getting ready to equip an army of 10-million men.

That is what is meant by the commitment of $65-billion on an arms spending program.

Looking over the recent purchases by the quartermasters in the United States Army, we are startled at the vast buying scale now in practice.

In a single day, September 5th, quartermasters placed orders for 39,464,540 yards of cotton twill uniform cloth, khaki color, at a cost of more than $23-million.

This one day's purchase would be sufficient to manufacture more than 10-million uniforms!

Based upon available figures, there is evidence to indicate that the War Department has bought more than 600-million yards of various kinds of fabrics.

In the last 18 months more than 12-million blankets, at a cost of approximately $80-million, were added to the stock on hand.

Some 40-million yards of mosquito netting at a cost of approximately $9-million has been purchased — probably against the day when our soldiers are sent to Africa.

Another $1,525,000 was spent for cooks' and bakers' coats and trousers.

And $16,598,000 was the price we paid for raincoats ranging up to $4 each.

1,500,000 thermometers, at an average cost of 33c each, is part of our hospital equipment for the Army.

Ten million pairs of shoes, at a cost of $38-million, are on order.

Although our Army, as of October 1, had an estimated strength of 1,582,000; although officers and some of the men buy their own clothing, here are some figures identified with Government purchase of clothing: 13,929 pairs of fur mittens at $15.73 per pair.

$12,500,000 for ordinary gloves and mittens.

In one day 15-million neckties were ordered and 8,720,000 pairs of cotton drawers!

* * *

Congressman Hoffman pointed out that we sent an A.E.F. of 2-million men to fight in the first World War. At the present cost for materials we are preparing another A.E.F. three times the size of the last one.

The average American should remember that he is paying a tax that is $3 more *per capita* than that paid by the people of Great Britain, which has been at war for two years.

If ours was a war merely of defense, we would be busy building airplanes and ordnance to defend our coast.

We would be busy training pilots and mechanics to service the airplanes.

We would be busy building submarines to harass warships.

Instead, we are busy preparing a land army of 10-million men when every child knows that it is impossible for an enemy to disembark a million soldiers at any given point over any given week.

'If a Shooting War, It Will Be In the Orient'

THE ABOVE words appeared in these pages months ago.

For almost a year evidence has been mounting to support the conclusion that if and when the United States gets into a shooting war, it will be through the Orient.

Primarily, Japan is a "have-not" nation as far as gold is concerned.

Primarily, Asia is an imperialized continent: Great Britain holds sway over India; the French empire has more territory in Asia than it has in Europe.

American gun-boats furrow the Chinese rivers as customarily as they maneuver in the Hudson or the Delaware.

Index to Comment

A 10-Million Army for Offense; If a Shooting War, It Will Be in the Orient; Be It Recorded ..... **Page 3**

The Outlook; British Hit and Run, U. S. Takes Rap ..... **Page 4**

Roosevelt Statement Not the Truth; Don't Let 'Em Think, Make 'Em Mad! ..... **Page 5**

Editorials: The New Peerage; Leon Henderson — Enemy No. 1?; New Deal Lovers Make Up ..... **Page 6**

John L. Lewis and the C.I.O.; C.I.O.-A.F.L. and the Currier Case; More on the Lumber Case ..... **Page 7**

Behind the War; Don't Trust a 'Red'; An 1879 Prophecy ..... **Page 8**

90 Per Cent Killed or Exiled in 15 Months; War Casualty Figures Fantastic ..... **Page 9**

The Farm Revolt: Petitions Demand Lease-Lend for Iowa; Farmers Paying ..... **Page 10**

Poverty of India Monument to Empire ..... **Page 11**

A Matter of Conscience; Catholic Clergy Against War ..... **Page 12**

Mahatma Gandhi; England Changed **Page 13**

Kuhn Loeb Tries Again ..... **Page 18**

B'nai B'rith ex-Head Backs Lindbergh ..... **Page 19**

F.D.R.'s Great Omission ..... **Page 20**

For many years the subdued propaganda of "Asia for the Asiatics" has been simmering on every intellectual Asiatic front.

And now comes the showdown. Britain has her back to the wall. Aeronautics have proven that the bomber can chase a warship into the open. Britain has "lost face" time after time, in Asiatic parlance. The threat from the north as represented by Russia has been minimized. The intrigues of international bankers are proving ineffective. Briefly, Japan feels fit to fight.

It appears that at this crucial moment when Russian arms have collapsed; when Britain's back is to the wall; when America is stirred to the boiling point both on the industrial and agricultural fronts; and when the Allies are more notorious for fighting the war with words instead of swords, Japan is ready to strike.

The fall of the peace government and the coming to power of the war party in Japan seem to bear out clearer and clearer what was written in SOCIAL JUSTICE months ago.

This is no news to Washington because Washington has been preparing for many months against this day. Airplane factories have been multiplied on the West Coast. Shipbuilding facilities have quadrupled from Seattle to San Diego. Alaska has been converted into an arsenal.

Perhaps, we are ready, externally, to wage war against the Nipponese. Are we ready internally?

Be It Recorded

IN DEMANDING the repeal of the *Neutrality Act,* Mr. Roosevelt doffs the mask of pretense.

Every informed American — even his erstwhile friends—now know that the President does not entertain a peace policy; that he does, specifically, entertain a war policy.

All the fine words spoken during the last several years relative to peace will remain on the records to indict him.

And there are those who have analyzed his defense program as an offense program. If he were merely inclined to defend the United States and its territories from foreign invasion, he would have busied himself in preparing defense weapons. On the contrary, he has been engaged in preparing offensive weapons with the hope of invading Europe to wipe Hitlerism off the face of the earth.

Motivating him in this offense preparation is the salvation of his precious hoard of gold at Fort Knox, Kentucky, his international banking system and his economic imperialism, all of which are identified with his desire to spread the four freedoms over the face of the earth—four freedoms which he has not been successful in spreading over the States of America.

And there are those in Europe who privately say that Mr. Roosevelt, unconsciously, is Hitler's tool in so far as he persistently follows a policy of bankrupting the United States and thereby rendering gold and international capitalism obsolete.

These people, together with many Americans, recognize that the Roosevelt program of defense-offense is in an economic mess. Despite this country's untold natural resources, industrial institutions and execu-

(Continued on Page 18)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

The Outlook

AMERICAN investors are losing faith in the stock market. And they should, to a degree.

First of all, the market is not only regulated but controlled by the Government, which is now the largest purchaser of raw materials.

Secondly, the stock market is not only regulated but controlled by the Government, which is the largest customer in the steel, motor, rubber and many other industries.

By the instrument of priorities, the Government is telling manufacturers what to produce and, soon, will be telling the manufacturers how much profit they can make.

As a background to this picture there is the sketch of an imposing tax structure whose shadow looms larger and larger.

This means the confiscation of profits.

There are some optimists who talk about "inflation." They advise people with money to invest in the stock market so that they will gain the advantage of upped prices for stocks.

Realists recognize that the war will be over in the not too distant future. They recognize that industry, now geared for blood-money production, necessarily will be ungeared for plowshare production. During the period of transition, industry will not be making money and taxes will mount apace.

It is the smart man who knows how to retire gracefully from the stock market.

Some of our readers are ladies and gentlemen who have just a mere pittance; who depend upon a monthly salary; who have problems of finance which are not the problems of those with ten or fifteen or twenty thousand dollars or more to invest.

What is our advice to the former?

We advise them to live modestly and sparingly.

We advise them to hold on to their homes.

We advise them to repair their homes with borrowed money—not with money they have in the bank.

Definitely, we do not advise them to buy a farm, particularly if they are not farmers. As it is, we will be able to produce more farm products, when depression comes, than we can consume.

If such persons have two homes, one of them being rented, it might be well to sell the rented home now that real estate is near its peak. But be sure to get a handsome down payment. Be sure that the purchaser is able to repair the home and guarantee the mortgage.

* * *

Let us tell you something about Canada in this investment advice.

The Canadian administration is far more factual, more conservative, than the American administration. True, taxes in Canada are extremely high. The point is, they should be twice as high in the United States now if our Government were factual.

Because our Government is "kidding the public," by withholding part of the tax burden from us until they get us into war, do not be under the impression that taxes in the United States are lower than they are in Canada, from the mathematical viewpoint. If, in view of our proposed lease-lend spending, we implemented all the taxation against the citizenry that should be implemented, our taxes in this country would be higher than they are in Canada — a country with no lend-lease bill.

Now, it so happens that the Canadian national income has increased 11 per cent or better for the past year.

It so happens that Canadian government bonds have advanced tremendously during the past year in proportion to the laggard sluggishness of American Government bonds.

And it so happens that Americans can buy Canadian dollars at a 10 per cent profit at least.

Many Americans who have two or three or ten thousand dollars, which they do not want and need in use at present, are buying Canadian dollars at 90 cents or less on the dollar. After they purchase the Canadian dollars at a good discount, they are purchasing Canadian government bonds which are paying between 3 and 5½ per cent, if we include also the provincial bonds of British Columbia, Saskatchewan, Manitoba, Ontario, etc.

Remember, that money placed in Canada will be "frozen" in Canada until the war is over.

There is a risk in that point.

But, on the assumption that there will be economic parity between Canada and the United States following the war, Canadian dollars and American dollars most likely will be on a parity—penny for penny.

After all, it may not be a bad thing to have some of one's money frozen in Canada because it will be better protected there, in one sense, than it will be in the United States if we permit this Administration to proceed along the pathways of radicalism which it is fond of pursuing.

And the future seems to hold great promise for Canada — more promises for Canada than for any other country in the world outside the Argentine, Brazil, Australia and South Africa.

* * *

There are plenty of frontiers in Canada still undeveloped. Following the war there will be plenty of better-class Englishmen, Scotchmen, Belgians and northern Europeans, anxious to rid themselves of all European ties.

It is estimated that many of them will come to Canada, rather than to the United States, because there is ill-feeling growing among all those people as a result of the exaggerated promises which our Administration has made to help them—promises not fulfilled.

As for persons who are hedging on the patriotic viewpoint, let it be said that Union Nowism with Great Britain will never be accomplished in our time. But some type of Union Nowism is in the immediate future as far as the United States and Canada are concerned.

No one anticipates that it will be a full Union Nowism; for Canada will retain its own government, its own courts and its own laws. Possibly, the greatest effect of Union Nowism will be with respect to the customs offices, to trade and commerce. Possibly, there will be a pooling of raw materials.

With Mr. Nelson's proposal to the United States Congress to give the American Government the right to go into every private home and seize any metals, we are confronted with a new phase in the radical advance of Marxism in the United States. Not in our time will any such proposal be carried in the Parliament of Canada.

Necessarily, this resume is brief. Its observations, however, are dictated as a result of the radical proclivities of the New Deal and as a result of the happenings in Europe.

British Hit and Run; U. S. Navy Takes Rap

IN THE CASE of the United States destroyer *Greer,* as in the case of the latest submarine casualty, the *U.S.S. Kearney,* the American destroyers were admittedly out looking for trouble, in the guise of German submarines and commerce raiders.

But, more important, it seems to us, in these torpedo cases is the fact that British planes provoke the attacks and then flee, leaving the United States Navy ships to be the target of Nazi submarine attack.

The plane drops a depth bomb and zooms away; the sub comes to the surface and sees only a U. S. ship.

In other words, the British are using the time-dishonored tactic of hit-and-run, leaving some ally to "take the rap!"

Of course, this all fits in very well with the plans of Mr. Roosevelt's advisers who want the United States to provoke an incident for war.

Hitler reportedly has given orders not to fire upon U. S. ships unless attacked.

The American craft—in our present state of undeclared war—dare not fire first.

So, the British do the attacking then flee and leave the United States Navy to be the target of German retaliation.

This set-up should work out perfectly for the subversive war-makers.

By a very little covering up of the facts, Mr. Roosevelt can always say that the 'German sub fired first! Take my word for it!"

Enough of these "unprovoked" attacks upon battlecraft flying the Stars and Stripes will make Americans mad enough to fight.

As a Christian bishop counsels in another column of this edition: *"Don't let 'em think; make 'em mad!"*

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Roosevelt Statement Not the Truth!

YEARS AGO, at the Townsend Old Age convention held at Cleveland, Father Coughlin used a short, ugly word to describe Franklin Roosevelt's proclivity in handling facts.

The nation was shocked.

The point was, the nation needed to be shocked; for, already by that time, Father Coughlin—better than the vast majority of American leaders—understood how Mr. Roosevelt was planning to get this country into a war; planning to go all out for Great Britain and all in for America; planning to hold fast to the blood-stained hand of Josef Stalin; planning, in the name of democracy, to wreck democracy; planning, in the name of social security, to bring about social unrest.

All that is water over the dam as far as the Radio Priest is concerned—the same Radio Priest who can muse retrospectively upon the greatest record of "I-told-you-so-ism" ever pronounced in America.

Even the Mobilization Day plan, which definitely out-Hitlerized anything ever perpetrated in Germany, is upon us now, as a result of a private decision made in the Roosevelt dressing room, probably; made without consulting Congress; and made without informing the citizenry of the United States.

Mobilization Day is with us to such an extent that "Donald Duck" Nelson of the priorities department is about to propose a bill to Congress (as if it were needed) to grant him and his industrial OGPU the right to seize hardware, kitchenware, copperware and other metals from private homes, as well as from privately-owned industries!

All these things are counter to the political oratory of Franklin D. Roosevelt who pledged us to keep our country out of war and all things pertaining to war.

Even his Chautauqua speech of "fools' gold" has been trampled upon while he himself becomes the greatest searcher for fools' gold in all the world!

And now comes Admiral Stark's story about the *Greer* incident.

* * *

As you remember, the *Greer* is an American destroyer. While proceeding to Iceland — outside the Western Hemisphere — the *Greer* encountered a German submarine.

Immediately following, on September 11, Mr. Roosevelt gave his most certain report of that incident to the American people in a radio address. He said the *Greer* was "proceeding in full daylight toward Iceland, had reached a point southeast of Greenland . . . and she was then and there attacked by a submarine."

Mr. Roosevelt was most emphatic, most cocksure in his statement. Take my word for it, he said.

Then came Admiral Stark of the Navy, appearing before a congressional committee. Under oath, Admiral Stark said the attempted torpedoing took place while the *Greer* was trailing the submarine and reporting the latter's position to nearby British war vessels.

Thus, according to Admiral Stark, the destroyer was carrying out orders which—without consent of Congress—had been given to the American Atlantic Patrol weeks before.

* * *

According to the pro-New Deal *Detroit News*, "The omission of the *Greer's* actual activities from the President's account of the occurrence was a material omission. It left the impression that the destroyer, when attacked, was peacefully on her course to Iceland."

Continues the pro-New Deal, pro-British *Detroit News:*

"It is just conceivable the omission may not have been deliberate. But September 11 was a week after the incident and it is improbable the Navy Department was not by then fully informed. What he was doing at the time of the attack is not a detail the *Greer's* commander would be apt to omit from his report.

"It appears, therefore, that in this instance the President again confused the people, feeling presumably that a wholly unprovoked attack would be a better build-up for his 'shoot-on-sight' order, announced in the same address.

"And again he appears to have outsmarted himself, for disclosure of the whole truth about the *Greer* comes just as Senate debate is beginning on his request for *Neutrality Act* revision!

"The issue with the isolationists who oppose him on that and other like questions is almost exclusively one of Presidential frankness. The President espouses before the people a policy that may be summarized as attempting to win the war against Germany with as little active American participation as possible. The isolationists say the policy is a lie and that the true purpose of the President's various moves has been to push the country into the war as fully and as rapidly as possible.

"Thus the issue is essentially as stated. And to those of us who accept and have urged others to accept the President's good faith in this very serious matter, disclosures like that here referred to are highly disconcerting."

* * *

The point is that President Roosevelt did not handle facts in this instance as they really existed. He twisted and turned them to suit his own convenience, probably, for the purpose of creating an "incident" to get America into the shooting war long desired by the President's close advisers.

And the *Chicago Tribune* adds frankly:

"That announcement (the President's) was a vicious half-truth designed by suppression of part of the facts to give the country the impression that the *Greer* had been subjected to an unprovoked attack. Actually, the full account shows the *Greer* had been directing a hostile attack on the submarine through its broadcast information some time before the submarine turned and fired upon the American destroyer.

"It is a shameful thing," concludes the *Tribune* editorial, "when the American people find, as they now find in the *Greer* affair, that the gangsters who bear the deserved reputation of being the greatest liars in history *gave a more truthful account of the incident than our own Administration!*"

Don't Let 'em Think —Make 'em Mad!

THE Right Rev. Henry Wise Hobson, Protestant Episcopal bishop of Southern Ohio, and chairman of "Fight for Freedom, Inc.," came to the Capital last week to "give his disciples a few pointers on how to stimulate the war psychology in America," reports a Washington correspondent for the *New York Daily News.*

Delegates returning to their home states had been impressed by the bishop's counsel: "Don't appeal to the intellect, appeal to the emotions!"

"The bishop, a lusty cleric of 51 (Yale '14), made it clear that the most effective way of making his fellow citizens fighting mad is to tell them that Hitler is 'insulting the wives, sisters and mothers of America,' " the *News* account continues.

"To a group of 60 delegates Bishop Hobson announced that 'we have hired an ace advertising man' to put the 'emotionally mad' theme on paper and proclaimed that a national advertising campaign will start next week.

"He urged his listeners to return to their homes and raise money to place the ads in their local newspapers.

". . . The Cincinnati cleric suggested that women's clubs would be the softest touch for funds to exploit the 'save American womanhood from Nazi insults' theme, labor organizations for the display of pictures featuring the disagreeable looking individual in German uniform about to curl his whip over the bodies of male and female workers.

"The prelate reiterated his argument that the task of the war group is to 'make America feel, not think!' "

* * *

The bishop then displayed with some pride, a full-page sample ad with the arresting slogan:

"American Women Are No Good!" above the picture of a good looking American girl.

The bishop ascribed this slander to Mr. Hitler, and professed to quote from the *Schwarze Korps*, a German army newspaper: "The American woman doesn't by any means object to a violent flirtation and she goes at it in a businesslike manner—we do not envy your do-nothing girls with well formed bodies of overnormal temperament."

The bishop then quoted from his own writing: "This hysterical fuehrer is talking about your wife, your best friend's sister, your neighbor's mother."

And here, his lordship interpolated for his audience: "You've *got to get inside their hearts to make them fight!* This appeal, you see, isn't directed at anybody's intellect."

* * *

Can this pro-British pro-war Christian bishop make the American "boobs" fall for it again?

Probably, he can.

It worked exactly that way with almost the same words 20 years ago—except then it was "the kaiser," instead of "the fuehrer."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

The New Peerage

THE ORDINARY American boy, at one time or another, has entertained the thought that he could be the President of the United States.

Recently, that dream has been shattered, at least in the minds of the draftees who have grown old enough to shoulder broomsticks and play at inefficient warfare in Louisiana.

For the ordinary American boy has been introduced to nepotism and favoritism.

He now knows that unless his dad belongs to the inner circles of the New Deal, there is no chance for him to rise above the proletarian class.

And all this came about with certain appointments to high offices of sons of New Dealers who had no qualification for their offices except that they are sons of New Dealers.

Arnold Welles, son of the Undersecretary of State, was commissioned as ensign in the Navy without previous military or naval experience.

Wendell L. Willkie's son was selected for training as an officer a few days after his induction into service.

The Roosevelt sons are shining mahogany chairs as they give proof that there is no need of military service for them to rise to high positions in the army or navy.

Joseph Alsop, cousin of the Roosevelts, got his commission direct from Secretary Knox.

As the *Chicago Tribune* remarks:

"Some weeks ago, following the proposal by Mr. Leslie Hore-Belisha of a common British-American citizenship, it was suggested in these columns that since Great Britain has two classes of citizens, all subjects, Mr. Hore-Belisha would have to decide whether Americans would be Grade A or Grade B citizens of the enlarged empire."

Step by step we are moving into the orbit of British imperialism. Day by day we are arranging our affairs to suit Mr. Churchill rather than the American people.

The qualifications for the new peerage will neither be wealth nor ability but the accident of close relationship with the New Deal.

Leon Henderson—'Enemy No. 1'?

PRICE-FIXING Henderson has been cited as Enemy No. 1 of the farmers of America.

Tom Linder, Georgia's Commissioner of Agriculture (quoted in another column of this issue), almost became anti-Semitic in lining up Henderson with Baruch, Morgenthau, Strauss, Ginsberg and Guggenheim interests.

According to the *New York Sun,* the testy Georgian assailed America's price-fixer as unfit for his job, intimating that his unfitness was related to his associates and their economic objectives.

Speaking of Henderson's associates, Commissioner Linder said: "Mr. Baruch and Mr. Morgenthau have given their unqualified endorsement to Mr. Henderson for his job. Mr. Baruch and Mr. Morgenthau have spoken their unqualified opposition to any advance in farm prices."

Mr. Baruch and Mr. Morgenthau are much too smart to give their unqualified endorsement to any man for a job of this kind without knowing beforehand that he would administer the office in conformity with their views.

"Cotton Ed" Smith, who is opposed to the Government's attempt to fix a ceiling for cotton, definitely maintained with Linder that Henderson is "Enemy No. 1" of the farmers.

Senator Bankhead differed somewhat from his colleague. The Alabama Senator leans to the theory that Henry Morgenthau is Enemy No. 1 because he "takes a shot at the farmer every chance he gets."

Vigorously sucking on his cigarette, Mr. Roosevelt sits in the background, rather enjoying the assault upon his two yes men.

Peculiarly, Mr. Roosevelt wanted higher prices for farm products a short time ago. According to form, he changes his mind and now wants ceiling prices established.

The two Senators, as well as Commissioner Tom Linder, are wasting their oratory on straw men when they assail Henderson and Morgenthau.

Meanwhile, both American labor and agriculture are asleep at the switch by permitting the New Deal Government, without protest, to fix prices on wages and farm products, both of which are already notoriously below the American standard of living.

The Enemy No. 1 of American labor and agriculture is F.D.R. and his New Deal!

New Deal Lovers Make Up

FOLLOWING the seizure of oil properties by the Mexican government, the New Deal swain in Washington cooled off towards his beloved in Mexico City.

And the "cooling off" was manifest in Henry Morgenthau's refusal to buy any more high-priced silver from the bandits who control the Mexican government.

But now all that is going to be changed. Already flowers have been sent to the red-lipped, red-brained dancing girl south of the Rio Grande.

And following the flowers went a *billet doux* from Mr. Morgenthau to the treasury department of the radical government.

Thus, it is learned that Uncle Sam is about to stabilize the Mexican *peso.* He will use part of his $2-billion Stabilization Fund to buy *pesos* at a fixed price, even though pesos become as prolific as grasshoppers.

More than that, Uncle Sam is going on another silver-buying spree.

At home, Mr. Morgenthau entertains himself by laughing at silver and ridiculing those who advocate its use as a base for sound currency. But abroad, he is the mighty silver king as far as Mexico is concerned.

Now, he will be engaged in buying Mexico's surplus silver in order to give the mules some defense work in carting it across the border where the grass is green.

Mr. Morgenthau also has decided to use the wealth of the Export-Import Bank to construct highways and build railroads in Mexico.

All this is for continental defense, of course. It is also identified with good neighborliness.

Indeed, the "United States empire" is growing apace—even to the extent of being accused of meddling in Panama where President Arnulfo Arias was recently expelled and where, currently, the Panamanian ambassador to the United States is being changed.

And how about co-operating with the Communists in Mexico?

Do certain ecclesiastics also applaud Uncle Sam's relations with the red-lipped hussy down Mexican way?

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

John L. Lewis and the C.I.O.

JOHN L. LEWIS, founder and first president of the C.I.O., has reached a virtual breaking point with Philip Murray, the present incumbent in that office.

Personally, the two men love each other, having fought together on the front lines of labor for almost thirty years.

Politically, these two leaders are drawing far apart.

Mr. Roosevelt's foreign policy seems to be at the bottom of the trouble.

John L. Lewis wants America to mind her own business and keep her boys on this side of the Atlantic.

Mr. Murray is an interventionist who wants America to go all out for Britain and Russia. The former is opposed to Roosevelt; the latter is his staunch supporter.

It appears that Mr. Lewis thinks that now is the time for him to break with the present set-up of the C.I.O. Convinced that 80 per cent of the American laborers are opposed to a shooting war, he believes that the majority of C.I.O.-ists will follow him.

There is one flaw in Mr. Lewis' argument. He should recollect that the majority of Legionnaires were opposed to a shooting war. Nevertheless, their incumbent officers who were pressured by the New Deal government gained the official approval of their organization for Mr. Roosevelt's policies.

It is feared that a similar thing will happen on or about November 17th at Detroit when the C.I.O. convention is scheduled.

* * *

John Lewis is not coming to Detroit empty handed. He is still president of the United Mine Workers — 600,000 strong. The Mine Workers contribute approximately $30,000 a month to the coffers of the C.I.O. If Lewis breaks with the C.I.O., this largest single contribution will make a pretty nest egg for a new Lewis organization around which millions of workingmen will be glad to rally even though the C.I.O. officially goes on record for 100 per cent support of Mr. Roosevelt's foreign policy.

John Lewis is powerful amongst the steel workers who are headed by Mr. Murray.

He is equally as powerful among the automotive workers. His America-first tendencies cannot be disregarded lightly; for, they will attract many men in the present C.I.O.

It is likely that Lewis will bring to the attention of the C.I.O. delegates in Detroit the following criticisms of the Philip Murray-Sidney Hillman regime:

(1) Labor has no participation in the national defense movement.

(2) The New Deal uses troops with fixed bayonets to force strikers back to work.

(3) The Administration gives contracts to firms employing A.F.L. workers when firms with C.I.O. employes had underbid them.

(4) The Administration, through Sidney Hillman—and without protest from Murray—has sought to force upon workers in industry wage-freezing plans and the abolition of the right to strike.

(5) The Administration is seeking to drive this country into a pro-British, pro-Soviet war whose expenses must be borne eventually by American labor."

In the past, Lewis has been accused of consorting with Communists. Lewis never seriously denied this. But he did maintain that he merely "used Communists" as stepping stones, just as F.D.R. uses Josef Stalin as a means to the end of downing Hitler.

As of today, John L. Lewis is further removed from London and Moscow than are Philip Murray, Sidney Hillman and F.D.R.

No one expects John Lewis to win hands down at the Detroit convention. However, this convention will offer him an exit from the sanctuary of silence wherein he cloistered himself following the last presidential election. And it is to be expected that Lewis will emerge a bigger and better man capable of leading a powerful sector of American labor, industry and citizenry.

C.I.O.-A.F.L. and Currier Case

THE Currier Lumber Company of Detroit underbid its closest competitor $400,000 for the construction of 300 workingmen's homes. And that was the beginning of an argument which threatens to fan the embers of a labor war into flames.

By this time most Americans know that the Currier Lumber Company is equipped to pre-fabricate frame houses. By the use of mass production methods it is possible to reduce the cost of a worker's $4,000 home by $1,250.

The Currier Lumber Company is a C.I.O. institution. The carpenters and artisans directly affected in their pay envelopes by the Currier Company's mass production method of home building belong to the A.F.L.

As the case stands today, the A.F.L. threatens the next thing to a national strike if a Government contract is awarded to the Currier Lumber Company. Although no officer of the A.F.L. has said so, nevertheless there is the implication in the threat that if mass production methods are used for building workers' homes, there will be serious trouble in the United States before the A.F.L. will submit to progress.

Peculiarly, Sidney Hillman is one of the head men in the C.I.O. as well as one of the head men in Government. And more peculiarly, Sidney Hillman has turned thumbs down on the Currier Company contract because he and the Government he represents fear the A.F.L.

* * *

One presumes that if the A.F.L. were potent some thirty years ago, its officers would have objected to building automobiles by mass production methods. Imagine the carpenters, the harness makers, the brotherhood of hostelers and the fraternity of white-winged street cleaners meeting in convention to protest against the automobile which threatened to throw them out of their jobs.

For years the A.F.L. has been sort of a closed corporation which made it difficult for young men on the way up to join their organization. It has been the policy of the officers of that institution not only to insist on union workers performing a job but to make it hard for workers to become unionized.

Meanwhile, abuses have grown in the A.F.L. to a serious degree. William Green's administration has been remarkable for its inefficiency to drive racketeers from the organization and for its inability to unionize the mass production workers of America.

And now comes the show-down when mass production workers have succeeded under the C.I.O. in fashioning a larger and more powerful union than the A.F.L.; when progress seems to be on their side in that they are associated with corporations able to give workingmen homes far below the cost these same homes could be produced for under A.F.L. methods and auspices.

* * *

Where will the Government stand in this singular contest which threatens to rock both labor and the New Deal?

If Mr. Roosevelt is anxious to multiply homes for mass production workers at a cost within reason, will he permit Sidney Hillman to sustain the position which the O.P.M. chief has enunciated—a position of opposition to the Currier contract?

There is no grave fault to be found with the Currier organization. It is one of the finest lumber companies in America. It is a closed C.I.O. shop, employing union labor only. It pays higher than the prevailing union rate of wages.

If Sidney Hillman persists in letting the housing contract to A.F.L. affiliates, each house will actually cost the workingman $1,255 more. This means that the citizens, through tax money, also will be penalized because Mr. Roosevelt, through Hillman, is afraid of the big, bad wolf — little Willie Green! This means that each laborer who buys a house will have to work a year for nothing on defense jobs to pay the extra costs.

Meanwhile, citizens are asked to buy defense bonds and stamps for defense projects. A defense bond at $18.75 means that the citizens will have to purchase $431,000 of such gilt-edged securities in order for Mr. Green and his associates to retard progress.

Meanwhile, the Government will lose a mighty friend in the C.I.O. if Sidney Hillman persists in perpetuating boon-doggling in the matter of house building.

More on the Lumber Case

PINKISH Assistant Attorney General Thurman Arnold sought and found legal advice to support John Carmody and his colleague, Sidney Hillman, who opposes the Currier contract.

Of course, the entire Currier case directly affects only 300 potential home owners. Nevertheless, it is a principle being established in favor of overly costly housing for the working class and overly necessary taxation for the citizenry.

The A.F.L. threatens a general strike of truck drivers and building trade workers if Currier gets the Federal contract.

This A.F.L. apparently is not so interested in national defense as—like the New Deal—it is in perpetuating its power and influence over the laboring class and the general citizens.

There is a wave of reaction evident in Washington as a result of all this.

Some Congressmen go so far as to propose Hillman's impeachment. These extreme methods were suggested by Representative Smith of Virginia and Hoffman of Michigan.

Many prominent C.I.O.-ers and Representatives maintain that the Hillman hold-up order indicates his desire to award exclusive jurisdiction in defense construction to A.F.L.

Perhaps the faces of C.I.O.-ers and their supporters are blushing when they remember the princely political gift that their organization contributed for the re-election of Mr. Roosevelt!

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Behind the War

Don't Trust a 'Red' Even When He's Down

THE WORLD will be wise to distrust Communists and Communism, even in the hour of their apparent defeat.

Harry Hopkins, instructed by President Roosevelt to "break down the wall of secrecy" which has surrounded the Soviet military effort, found, according to the *New York Herald Tribune,* no such wall, but "a well-informed dictator, who rattled off figures on every subject which Mr. Hopkins raised without referring to official documents on more than two or three occasions."

It is doubtful whether President Roosevelt, Prime Minister Churchill, or even Adolf Hitler, could fill 80 pages of notes on military and economic data without referring to official documents on more than two or three occasions.

The *Herald-Tribune's* report goes on:

"Mr. Stalin does not speak English and Mr. Hopkins knows no Russian. This difficulty was bridged through an interpreter. Mr. Hopkins, fearful that the Russian dictator would not disclose the data which the President had requested him to get, was surprised to hear Stalin answer every question he put to him.

"The interview, which took place in the Kremlin, may go down in history as the turning point of the war," the account continues. "The facts obtained by Mr. Hopkins were the basis for the intensified policy of the British and American governments to give all the help possible to bolster Russian resistance against the Nazis.

"Mr. Hopkins turned over the data to Mr. Roosevelt when he and Prime Minister Winston Churchill boarded the President's flagship, the cruiser *Augusta.* The meeting was held at an undisclosed point. Mr. Hopkins came with Mr. Churchill on the British battleship, *Prince of Wales.* Premier Stalin, it is said, had all the answers to Mr. Hopkins' questions at his fingertips. The Lease-Lend Administrator was flooded with data on Russian reserves and production facilities for munitions, plants, guns, tanks and other war material.

"It is reported that the President and Mr. Churchill were so amazed at the information brought back by Mr. Hopkins that they decided to proceed immediately with American and British plans to help the Russians."

* * *

The *Herald-Tribune* story was one evidence.

A *New York Times* story from Rome (Sept. 30) by Camille M. Cianfarra, quoting Robert Faricanni, former secretary of the Fascist Party and a member of the Grand Council, who had just returned from Germany and the Eastern front stated that Russia had "between 35,000 and 40,000 tanks and 35,000 planes."

"Let us thank God," Faricanni was quoted, "for having allowed us to choose the opportune moment for the war against Communism, because Russia has been preparing for many years. When a nation displays 35,000 airplanes, between 35,000 and 40,000 tanks and hundreds of formidably armed and prepared divisions, there can be only one aim beneath this preparedness: the invasion of Europe!"

As a third piece of evidence, we have the statement of Fuehrer Hitler, himself, on October 2nd, addressed to the German armies and German people, after a significant silence of several months. He stated:

"One thing I must say: We did not make any mistake in the correctness of our plan or in the fighting power of our soldiers. And we made no mistake about the quality of our arms and excellent functioning of our entire organization, or about the German homeland.

"But, we made a mistake about one thing: *We did not know how gigantic the preparations* of this opponent against Germany had been, and how tremendous had been the danger which aimed at the destruction not only of Germany, but of Europe..."

* * *

Three sources of reasonably authoritative information—Hopkins, Faricanni, and Hitler—would seem to agree that Stalin and his international banking backers were able to deceive the best German espionage. This is remarkable!

If Stalin is that good at deception on the grand scale, we would be wise not to leap too readily to general conclusions that one defeat is the end of him.

What, for example, is to prevent the Comintern from sacrificing even their symbolic Moscow, retreating behind the Urals if necessary, sacrificing part of their huge military *cache* in the West, but hoarding still more secret reserves?

And then, when the Nazis are ostensibly victorious but exhausted militarily — then, at just the precisely opportune moment, the Soviet's Asiatic armies — supported, possibly, by British and American Expeditionary Forces—could unleash a new scourge to sweep across Europe?

Stalin and his backers can always start revolutionary fires behind the German lines, throughout all the occupied countries; for that matter in every country of the world.

Trained Communist revolutionaries everywhere restlessly await to spring to action simultaneously at a given signal.

One thing is reasonably certain: The powerful Jews who control Russia will never rest with any ostensible Hitler victory. They will redouble their efforts and rest neither day nor night until their Nemesis is liquidated. Stalin has shown that even Hitler and his spies can be fooled.

Russia has more land surface than any country in the world. Its Red wall of secrecy was not penetrated by the best brains of Europe. We know the Soviets have wide diversification of raw materials—especially East of the Urals. We know they have secret manufacturing plants which will not be touched by Hitler's armies, even with the fall of Moscow. The Russians have the reserves of men — 170-millions of slaves. Grant that Hitler destroys 10-million men, the "reserve" is still formidable.

An 1879 Prophecy

APROPOS the brilliant military successes of Hitler's armies in Russia are the statements of Father Denis Fahey, C.S.Sp., on page 180 of his great book: *"The Mystical Body of Christ in the Modern World."*

Father Fahey is referring to William Marr, who played an outstanding part in the revolution of 1848 and was a member of several secret societies. Father Fahey says:

"In spite of the apparently brilliant successes achieved by Germany under Bismarck: defeat of Austria in 1866, victory over France in 1871, followed by the foundation of the German Empire; in spite of all that, Marr, in his old age, despaired of the future of Germany and of Europe.

"He had come to see what was being secretly prepared, and, as the plan developed, he became fully aware of the fact that all the efforts of himself and his fellow-revolutionaries, past and future, were only stages in the preparation of the kingdom of the future Messias.

"Here is how he expressed himself in his work *'The Conquest of Germanism by Judaism,'* of which the second edition appeared in 1879. The date is worth noticing, for the words of the writer will seem all the more remarkable when it is borne in mind:

" 'The advent of Jewish imperialism I am firmly convinced, is only a question of time . . . The Empire of the World belongs to the Jews . . . *Vae Victis!* Woe to the conquered! . . . I do not pretend to be a prophet, but I am quite certain that before four generations have passed, there will not be a single function in the State, the highest included, which will not be in the hands of the Jews . . .

" 'At the present moment, alone amongst European States, Russia still holds out against the official recognition of the invading foreigners. Russia is the last rampart and against her the Jews have constructed their final trench. To judge by the course of events, the capitulation of Russia is only a question of time . . .

" 'In that vast Empire . . . Judaism will find the fulcrum of Archimedes which will enable it to drag the whole of Western Europe off its hinges once and for all. The wily Jewish spirit of intrigue will bring about a revolution in Russia such as the world has never yet seen . . .

" 'The present situation of Judaism in Russia is such that it has still to fear expulsion. But when it shall have laid Russia prostrate, it will no longer have any attacks to fear.

" 'When the Jews shall have got control of the Russian State . . . they will set about the destruction of the social organization of Western Europe'."

* * *

On Page 181 of Father Fahey's book, he quotes Dostoievsky, the well-known Russian writer . . . who also foresaw, between 1876 and 1880, "the destiny which the Jews were steadily preparing for Russia and for Europe. These passages from his writings are, of course, hardly ever quoted, for the masters of publicity would not view it with favor:

" 'Yes, Europe is on the eve of a terrible disaster . . . All these Bismarcks, Beaconsfields, Gambettas and others, all of them are for me only a shadow . . . Their master, the lord of all the rest and of the whole of

(Continued on Page 11)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

90 Per Cent Killed or Exiled in 15 Months!

DONALD DAY has been a correspondent for the *Chicago Tribune* in the Baltic States since shortly after World War I.

Most of the time Mr. Day was stationed at Riga, Latvia. From that vantage point he wired reports on Soviet Russia.

Many newspapers had closed their Moscow bureaus, because Soviet censorship made it completely impossible to transmit factual or authoritative information.

When the Russians seized Latvia, Lithuania, Esthonia and part of Poland, Mr. Day fled the Communists' wrath and revenge. But, since the Germans have recaptured the Baltic States from the Reds, Mr. Day has returned, after an absence of 15 months, to Talinn, capital of Esthonia.

In two articles recently published this veteran correspondent has described conditions in the Baltic States in the brief months since the Russian conquest. His story is not what happened twenty years ago; but what takes place under Soviet auspices even at this moment.

He reported that *90 per cent of the people in Talinn with whom he was acquainted had gone the way of death and exile!*

Mr. Day knew many of the so-called *bourgeoisie*—lawyers, doctors, small business men, etc.

The Reds had promptly liquidated this entire group in Talinn, excepting only engineers and doctors. Many of the *bourgeoisie* in the Baltic States escaped to Germany. Of those caught and not immediately exterminated, families were broken up—the women were shipped in freight cars to northern Russia, the men to southern Russia, and the children placed in Russian schools, many times under changed names.

Business in Talinn had been abolished, or taken over entirely by Soviet commissars.

And this we repeat, not in some by-gone time, but as of today; and *not by the Communists alone but by the Soviet government of Red Russia!*

Stalin promptly sovietized Esthonia, as he had already communized Latvia, Lithuania, Bessarabia and part of Poland.

The dictator had to abolish private property and "liquidate" property holders.

The Soviet government was forced to collectivize farm lands, confiscate all private productive enterprises, regiment workers and "liquidate" the petty middle class!

' ' '

A few days ago Ivan Maisky, Russian ambassador to Great Britain, told the world that Soviet Russia "subscribed wholeheartedly to the Roosevelt-Churchill eight points."

These, we recall, included the advertised Roosevelt "freedoms" one of which calls for the right of every people to choose their own form of government; some vague kind of sharing of natural resources; and freedom of thought, expression, assembly and suffrage.

Bloody Stalin's satanic actions in these Baltic nations gives the outright lie to any Soviet profession of adherence to even the sophistic "eight points" now or any other time.

As for the "freedom of religion"—which was expressly omitted from the Atlantic ocean conference pronouncement, so as not to offend the Soviet ally—it is, of course, completely absent everywhere.

' ' '

President Roosevelt told an American press conference on September 30 that constitutional religious freedom in Soviet Russia is "essentially the same as" in the United States.

The President's flippant remark was so outrageously shocking to the world at large that many foreign correspondents saw it in relation to the Pope's rejection of Roosevelt's invitation to a Vatican co-operation with the Roosevelt-Churchill-Stalin war.

President Roosevelt, correspondents' gossip agreed, may have been directing an answer to His Holiness who obviously could not endorse any war, or any peace plan, to which Communist Russia is a party.

Observers and newspaper readers have not forgotten that the same Roosevelt, some years ago, assured the United States through Ambassador Daniels that there was "no persecution of religion" in Mexico, but at the very time the facts indicated that Mr. Daniels was "misinformed."

Even the official reports of the Mexican government gave the lie to Washington "assurances."

War Casualty Figures Fantastic

IT IS patently evident that the war lords are not telling us the truth about the mighty conflict raging in the East.

Both British and American observers have criticized Soviet Russia for not permitting British or American military men and newspaper correspondents to "see the war."

In fact, Soviet Russia is acting very queerly for an "ally," in keeping such secrets from the United States of America and Great Britain; especially, since the Russians have asked for all-out aid from these "allies."

The British war *clique* in London have repeatedly clarioned that unless great stocks of war supplies can be guaranteed Red Russia by the United States, the Russians must capitulate.

Putting the whole responsibility for the collapse of Russia on the United States, the British demand that America pour a veritable Niagara of war materials into Russia, and that we establish a bridge of ships to the U.S.S.R. by way of Vladivostok and the Near East.

Many thinking Americans would answer, if they had any voice, "Why don't you British do something?"

The widely advertised bombings of military objectives in Hamburg and Berlin, and other Nazi industrial and transportation centers such as Stettin, are of little help to Russians who are locked in a death grip with the Nazi invader.

British militarists, such as Generals Wavell and Auchinlek, figure that the Germans have made too many gains in Russia to risk any British invasion of the continent, now.

The British military men want Russo-British troops in Iran, Iraq, Beluchistan and India to march into the Caucasus, thus to seize and hold this natural line of fortification.

German advances are not designed to seize territory but to annihilate large portions of the Russian army; thus to maneuver Germany and her allied axis armies into positions for attack on the Russian oil fields and the Near East.

' ' '

Many Americans have somehow or other been deceived into a belief that the Russo-German war dragging into the Winter will prove to be the undoing of Hitler.

What created this "optimism"? Principally, news reports written by correspondents in Europe far removed from the battlefields; and from "authoritative" statements of leaders in Britain and America who are, supposedly, "in the know."

Yet, analysis of the available information, as it is tardily released by Nazi and Russian high commands, discloses that little or no truth has been revealed on the state of affairs in Russia.

Readers of the daily newspapers, and listeners on shortwave radio broadcasts, have been led to believe generally, by *communiques* from Moscow, that the Russians in recent weeks have definitely turned back the Nazi tide by suicidal counter-attacks.

Now, every reader of the official war *communiques* ought to remember that these official releases are themselves "weapons of propaganda" which disguise a situation rather than describe it.

Communiques are written with the purpose of boosting morale, enlisting aid, destroying enemy morale, and bidding for sympathy of neutrals — not to give you honest news about the war!

It is well to be realistic and factual in this satanic world; hence, all *communiques* concerning the war should be suspect.

' ' '

American newspaper readers ought not to forget their recent experience in the Russo-Finnish war of 1939.

The Finnish reports made it appear that the "over-rated Russians" were being badly defeated and that heroic little Finland was about to emerge victorious. But, just as our enthusiasm for the Finns reached its apex, Finland fell.

The same was true of Greece.

Much the same story occurred in France and Belgium.

Only after the Finnish campaign was over did everyone see how impossible the Finnish hope of victory ever was. The size of the Finnish army and the magnitude of

(Continued on Page 10)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 9)

the Soviet armies were revealed; and the average intelligent American wondered how he could ever have been so deceived and so deluded as to believe that Finland had a chance.

Well, take a tumble to yourself, Big Boy; the great American Sap is being taken all over again in his evening newspaper again tonight. But, please, don't always blame your local editor!

* * *

The question of casualties—for just one example—continues to be one of the insoluble mysteries of the war. Little that has come from either side can be useful to us who want the facts and only the facts.

Recently, Mr. Maisky, Soviet ambassador to London, declared that 3-million Nazi casualties had resulted from the first 13 weeks of the war. But only the week preceding, Moscow had declared the Nazi losses topped 3,600,000!

Now, such assertions are fantastic—regardless of the length of the battle front, the number of men involved in action, or the ferocity of the fighting.

M. Maisky's claims would mean a loss of about 200 divisions; while Moscow's "official" figures would raise the losses to about 240 divisions.

But it has been well established that Hitler's total effective strength at the beginning of the Russian campaign was only 260 divisions.

So it is evident that the Reds are simply at the old army game of inflating enemy losses in an effort to bolster morale at home and weaken it among German listeners to the Moscow radio.

Both Soviet and German casualty claims are fantastic. Official spokesmen of Nazi Germany, not content with proclaiming "total destruction" of the Soviet air force after 10 days of fighting, asserted that the Russians have lost 3-million men to date

If these figures were true, it would mean that five-sixths of the Soviet regular standing army, and a third of its total military manpower, had been liquidated. A glance at the 1,500-mile front indicates the inaccuracy of the figures.

However, despite the extravagant handling of figures, it is still possible to obtain through analysis of the competing claims, a measure of the importance of the casualty estimates. Thus it is probably a fact that Soviet losses are nearly twice those of the Germans.

It must be remembered that Germany has taken to listing civilians and Russian "guerillas" as soldiers. This is partially accurate, of course, but it obscures the facts about the Soviet standing army.

* * *

But mere numerical casualties are not the weak spot in the Russian armor, for the U.S.S.R. has more than three times the trained manpower available to Hitler. Besides, requirements for hospitalization made it impossible to conceal the tremendous losses from the folks back home even though Norway, Roumania and Hungary have been used as casualty centers.

The need for issuing a heavy enemy casualty statement so as to retrieve position at home may explain why the Nazi high command slipped in an admission of 402,000 casualties. The news was all but hidden in the fanfare about the recent capture of Kiev.

This, again, is understatement; for the reasonable and conservative probabilities indicate that the Nazi losses for two months in Russia are at least double the figure given, probably closer to one million.

To get anything like an honest idea of the tremendous slaughter of men, we might remind our readers that during the first five months of the World War, French losses reached 480,000, which was considered the most sanguinary losses in the history of modern warfare.

So, if Germany lost 402,000 during the first two months of the Russian campaign, then Germany has been losing men at the rate of 200,000 a month. Five months more of the insensate slaughter would cut Nazi effective fighting manpower in half.

As the war proceeds, the injustice, senselessness and cruelty of it becomes inescapably more apparent in death, devastation and diabolical destruction.

The Farm Revolt

Petitions Demand Lease-Lend for Iowa!

AN ECHO from the growing farm revolt in the Middlewest corn country was heard recently, out Iowa way, where a movement called upon Congress to release some lease-lend billions for "American homemakers instead of European warmakers."

Petitions circulated in several Iowa counties caught on rapidly and similar movements were reported forming in the adjoining states of Wisconsin, and Illinois.

The Iowa petitions, addressed to U. S. Senator Guy Gillette and Representative William S. Jacobsen, read as follows:

"We respectfully request that you offer an amendment to the new *Lease-Lend Bill* to provide that all aid to be voted under this measure shall go not to European warmakers but to American homemakers in their war against underprivileged living conditions.

"We respectfully submit that Iowa has a war to win and we request lease-lend aid for the American state of Iowa in preference to any foreign state."

* * *

"It is realized that when the campaign becomes nation-wide, residents of other states will substitute the name of their state for 'Iowa' in their petitions," explained Charles Wilwert of Waupeton, a leader in the movement.

Meetings in parish halls and farm homes throughout Dubuque county, including a standing-room-only meeting at Rickardsville, Iowa, were reported.

A newly organized *"Farmers Committee for Peace"* and *"Iowa Peace Alliance"* were circulating the petitions, as were members of the Dubuque County Farmers Union.

The Rev. H. L. Weiss, pastor of the First Methodist Church in Waterloo, and chairman of the *Iowa Methodist Peace Committee,* announced that his organization would promote a state-wide circulation of the petitions.

Two Catholic priests, the Rev. J. Fred Kriebs, business manager of the *Witness,* Dubuque diocesan paper, and Father Joseph Richards, pastor of the Rickardsville parish, were also active in the movement.

"We no longer can be content with mere defensive opposition to the war propaganda," Father Kriebs was quoted, "we must meet it with a counter-offensive for peace.

"We must defeat the new lease-lend to Iran campaign with a better one — one for lease-lend to Iowa!"

* * *

Administration ambitions to invade the continent of Europe, to destroy Hitler's new order and substitute our own internationalist dream, have further divided rather than unified Americans.

The arguments used to make the salvation of the British Empire and the rescue of Russia sound like "national defense" for America have not convinced the hinterlands.

On the contrary, the aggressive ambitions of our internationalist war-makers, disguised as a fictitious defense program, have affronted native American commonsense, and the reactions from the countryside are beginning to be heard from.

They will become louder in the elections next year; unless, as Lindbergh warned, the elections are called off.

SOCIAL JUSTICE, if you remember, predicted something like that, many months ago.

Farmers Are Paying

THE NEW DEAL Department of Agriculture has been endeavoring for the last eight years and more to convince the farmers of America that they are better off now than before the Roosevelt administration undertook to run this great country, destroyed his normal crops and dictated what the farmer could and could not plant.

This fallacy is blasted in the *Congressional Record* (Aug. 12) by Mr. Thomas Linder, Commissioner of Agriculture for the State of Georgia. The report was prepared for Representative Bernard J. Gehrmann of Wisconsin.

His figures show that the farmer's plight in this nation has been steadily, but irresistibly going from bad to worse.

The statement, in part, follows:

"The percentage of the national income received by the farmer during the five-year period varied from 13.7 in 1925 to 12.6 in 1929.

"The percentage of the national income received by the farmer during the last five-year period was as follows:

	Per Cent
1935	9.4
1936	9.2
1937	9.
1938	8.5
1939	8.1

"It must be kept in mind," the statement continues, "that the percentages given include all Government payments to the farmers.

"From the 10-year period 1920 to 1929 until 1941, the price of farm products declined about 33 1/3 per cent."

Referring to the Lease-Lend aid to Britain, Mr. Gehrmann states further:

"The only two classes of people who are actually giving today are the farmers who raise the food and clothing and the boys who have got to carry the guns and do the fighting."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Poverty of India Monument to Empire

INDIA is inevitably next on the *blitzkrieg* timetable. But as news of the threatened march on India reaches that vast land, the people react not so much with fear of a menacing invader as hope for a "deliverer" from British enslavement and bondage.

India, once as rich as the fabled Cathay of Marco Polo's encountering, has been bled barren by the Sassoons and their international *clique* of exploiters. So generally is poverty the lot of both China and India, that the "coolie" and the starving Indian native are everywhere symbols of the lowest standards of human subsistence.

And there are many who view China and India as symbolical first fruits of the satanic work; seeing the same fate in store for progressive America, and the rest of the Western world, once the World State of the international money masters can be imposed upon the wreckage created by their international capitalism, international Communism and international war.

"After modern industry . . . had taken possession of many newly colonized countries, no less than of the ancient civilizations of the Far East, the number of dispossessed laboring masses, whose groans mount to heaven from these lands, increased beyond all measure," wrote the late Pope, Pius XI.

The meaning of these words is keenly realized by the Christian missionary in India, for "groans and tears, day and night," remind him of the intense suffering and poverty of this land of 400-millions.

"It is difficult to comprehend, to realize, the magnitude of the poverty of a country like India," writes Father Michael D. Lyons, from the Catholic mission at Mirzapur, U.P., British India.

"The dislocation of the social and economic structure of a country that can be caused by an expanding capitalistic system are known to all who have seen the long breadlines that have disgraced our own country. The effects of the repercussions of the same system on the masses of India completely defy imagination," the missionary continues.

Some idea of the extent of India's poverty can be had from a comparison of the standard of living of the average American and the average Indian workman of comparable status.

The Indian workman finds that his baby at times needs additional milk, much as babies in America do. But milk in India costs about one day's pay of the laborer for one quart.

It is as though milk were to cost $4 a quart in the United States!

There are many adults in India, says Father Lyon, who refuse to drink milk even when it is offered to them because, as they say, giving the best reason for an illiterate person, they are "not used to drinking it," having tasted no milk through poverty since infancy.

The missionary may tell his new converts that babies need cod liver oil and orange juice, but he soon discovers that such advice is a bitter joke for people who can never dream of purchasing these things.

At the time of writing this, our informant adds, "miserable specimens of oranges are selling in the local market place for a price equivalent to half a day's wages of an Indian working man for one orange."

The result of such conditions is to be seen in the extremely high infant mortality rate for India. In some areas it is estimated that 400 out of every thousand infants die in their first year. For the whole population of India, the average expectation of life at birth is only 23 years, as compared with more than twice that for countries like the United States.

The usual dress of the Hindu man is a loin cloth and a shirt, if he is able to afford the latter; and the woman wears a *sari* draped from her shoulders. The minimum amount of cloth required by any self-respecting person is five yards. Statistics show that all the cloth manufactured in India, or imported into the country, if evenly distributed, would give but 14 yards a year to each person—a little over a yard a month, and this in a sticky hot climate where one really should change several times a day for reasons of health.

* * *

As for the food of the Indian masses, we may quote a striking passage of Prof. K. T. Shah in his book, *"Wealth and Taxable Capacity of India":*

"The average Indian income is so small that it is quite insufficient to meet even all the primary wants of man, of food, clothing, and shelter. Assuming that the last is relatively unimportant under Indian conditions, and that the first absorbs two-thirds of the total income, the remaining third being enough to cover all the rest, the average Indian income is just enough to feed two men in every three of the population or to give all of them two, in place of every three meals they need, on condition that they consent to go naked, live out of doors all the year round, have no amusements or recreation, and want nothing else but food and that, the lowest, the coarsest, the least nutritious!"

* * *

There are tens of millions of the poorer classes in India who subsist months every year on but four or five meals in a week.

Their children are constantly crying for food, and it is a common thing to find them chewing indigestible roots and even swallowing sand in a desperate effort to kill the terrible pangs of hunger.

Recently, when Father Lyons was trying to purchase beeswax for Mass candles, from dwellers in the forests of his locality, he found that the wax collected in the forests had largely been consumed as food by the starving people in the lean months of the Spring.

Except for a tiny class who receive disproportionately high wages, even the people who are fortunate enough to find employment receive extremely low wages. The daily wage of the average laborer in India, the missionary says, is some three or four *anna* a day, that is 6 or 8 cents in American money.

It is little enough in itself, but for anything that must be imported from abroad, the buying power of even this small sum is surprisingly low.

A simple and striking example may be had in the motor car, almost a common possession—hardly a luxury—for even the American working man. Before the war, a V-8 Ford sold in India for $1,400. That was equivalent to 16,000 days', or 43 years' wages for an Indian laborer!

Where the American workman can purchase a car by saving half of his wages for some six or eight months, an Indian *doing the same work* would have to save at the same rate for some 86 years, or for three to four generations!

Even supposing that someone would present a motor car free of charge to an Indian worker, he could not afford to run it, with gasoline costing (before the war) the equivalent of 44 cents a gallon. And India, it might be added, produces petroleum!

In other words, the poverty of India is the artificial creation of man's exploitation. By natural endowment, and as indeed it once was, India is a land of plenty for even its teeming millions.

* * *

For necessary things like medicines, the smallness of the wages of the poor and the low purchasing power of the wages actually paid work great hardships on the poor, since a large proportion of the essential remedies of modern medical science and other important commodities of everyday use must be imported from abroad.

Even knowledge is expensive for the Indian laborer. He has to pay the equivalent of some twelve days' wages for an ordinary book published in India, whereas the American laborer can get the same type of book in his country for a half day's wages.

Valuable knowledge is out of the reach of the majority of the people of India for another reason, for not one in ten of the people of India can read any language.

An 1879 Prophecy

(Continued from Page 8)

Europe, is the Jew and his bank . . .

" 'Judaism and the banks now rule over everything, over Europe as well as over Socialism, in fact in a special way over Socialism, for by its help Judaism will tear up Christianity by the roots and destroy Christian culture.

" 'And even if nothing but anarchy is the consequence, the Jew will be found in control. For though he preaches Socialism, nevertheless he remains outside Socialism with his fellow-Jews, so that when all the wealth of Europe shall have been ravaged, the Jewish bank alone will remain . . . The Jews will compass the ruin of Russia!' "

Stalin and his Jewish advisers have deceived the world again and again. What is to prevent them from letting the world be deceived by Nazi victory, because they know it will not last?

They are after bigger victory — the invasion of Europe, coupled with world revolution!

Let us not be deceived as even great military victories are woven into the world-enveloping smoke-screen of deception.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Matter of Conscience

SOCIAL JUSTICE is in receipt of the following letter from a Catholic gentleman in Manhattan, who raises a matter of conscience over a Christian's supporting with with his taxes the devil's work in Red Russia.

We print his letter in full:

"Dear Editor:

"Realizing that many moralists and conscientious Catholics read your publication, I wish to submit for their consideration and judgment a practical case of conscience—practical for millions of Americans who recognize the principles of truth, justice and morality.

"The greatest moral leader in the world has decided that Communism is intrinsically evil and that no co-operation is licit, positive or negative, with Communism or Communists in any venture whatsoever.

"Now Britain and America have guaranteed all-out aid to Soviet Russia in its war against Germany—surely this is formal collaboration; for the co-operators frankly admit that without this aid Russia will fall. Surely, such aid, and promises of aid, are gravely illicit.

"No matter what the purpose or end of such aid may be, the defeat of materialistic Germany, the end of the agent cannot justify an action which is intrinsically evil, deriving its evil from Communism itself.

"As the moralists point out, the *finis operantis* cannot justify the *finis operis* if the end be evil.

"It is likewise a moral principle that, rather than perform, or co-operate positively and formally, in an act which is intrinsically evil, one must prefer death or the gravest physical evil.

' ' '

"Soviet Russia is supposedly fighting to defeat Germany and to save Britain and America from an imagined attack by Germany. Therefore, America is obligated to risk attack by Germany rather than to co-operate with Communist Russia.

"Of course, the Roosevelt administration tries to justify and legitimize its actions by assuming, gratuitiously, that Red Russia is fighting our battle and that Germany would attack the United States.

"Our new taxes have been upped to aid Communist Russia: we are told that the new appropriations will assure 100 per cent aid to the Soviet Union.

"Great Britain and the United States have agreed to turn over 100 per cent of their defense production to Soviet Russia. Therefore, I am paying taxes to finance this aid.

"Certainly, then, I am co-operating positively, as much as the Roosevelt administration in Washington, in rendering aid to Red Russia.

"I believe that I am not obligated to pay taxes for such a purpose; and that if I do, I am guilty of a grave sin.

"How can I act in accord with my conscience?

"Should I refuse to pay taxes and run the risk of prosecution, a physical evil, rather than commit a grave moral mistake?

"This, certainly, is not 'pro-Nazi' . . . I could no more aid National Socialism than I could Communism; but I can conceive of circumstances and conditions which would not make it illicit to co-operate materially and negatively with a system that has not been condemned as intrinsically and positively evil.

"We Americans have made the vicious mistake of accepting political expediency as moral principle, and permitting our consciences to be formed by utilitarian principles and not by moral dictates.

"We have adopted all the shibboleths of 'the end justifies the means' in all its implications.

"No matter where my feelings and hopes lie in this war, I cannot see that I am obligated to anything beyond working and paying for America's actual national defense against all irreligious and anti-religious aggressors.

"However, a comparison of appropriations for aid to Red Russia and national defense and expenditures proves to me that I am paying taxes for aid to Russia.

"If India has its conscientious objectors and civil disobedient religious why can't America, a Christian nation, which exports religion to pagan India?"

' ' '

Soviet Russia and Communism are "not synonymous" and it is "possible to aid the former while not condoning the latter," is the thesis of some pro-Roosevelt Catholics.

But as a matter of "practical morality," if we can coin the term—laying aside all the intricacies of theology, and laying aside, for that matter, Communism itself—the actions of the government of Soviet Russia over the past 20 years, and still in practise, form a bloody record "intrinsically evil" with which no conscientious Christian can collaborate in any manner whatsoever.

"The Government of the U.S.S.R. is a Marxist dictatorship," says Hilaire Belloc's *Weekly Review*. "The essence of Marxism is class hatred and the degradation of human life to a wholly materialist level governed by a particular economic theory. Such a system is intolerable to all who recognize men to be something more than animals . . ."

' ' '

Suppression of religion and the abolishment of God in Russia *is the work of the Soviet government!*

The murder of 20-million Christians—priests, nuns, bishops and believers—was at the order of the Soviet government, not only of "Communists."

The murder or exile of 91 per cent of the middle class residents of Talinn, Esthonia, within the last 15 months, was the official activity of the Soviet government—not the Comintern of International Communism.

So, to what purpose all the fine philosophy and theological hair-splitting — by men who have neither too much theology or hair—in order to accommodate some pro-war, pro-British scheme of Mr. Roosevelt and his *clique* temporarily in power in this country?

In our opinion—and we are speaking as Christian Americans, not professing philosophers or theologians—it is no more possible for a Christian in moral conscience to collaborate with the Marxian dictatorship of Soviet Russia than with the Communism that is "intrinsically evil," against which his late Holiness Pope Pius XI so clearly warned.

As a matter of common sense and fact, the evil philosophy of Communism is only theory (a sin of thought, as it were) until its evil has been put into action by men.

The intrinsically evil philosophy is advocated and promulgated by the theorists of the Third International; but the intrinsic evils of its practise *are ordered and carried out by the Soviet government of Josef Stalin.*

And that, as we say elsewhere in this issue, was not 20 years ago, or five years ago or a twelve-month, but as of today and now in Talinn, Esthonia, in Catholic Poland, or on Thirteenth Street, New York City, and everywhere else that Russian Communism has any influence.

Marxian Communism of the Third International, or Marxian practice of Jo Stalin's Soviet, what is the difference—outside of the hair-splitting by theologians and philosophers?

Catholic Clergy Vote Against War

CATHOLIC clergymen of the United States are overwhelmingly opposed to American entrance into war outside the Western Hemisphere, and to American aid to Soviet Russia, according to results of a poll announced by the Catholic Laymen's Committee for Peace.

The vote was 12,038 to 885—or 91½ per cent against war; and 11,860 to 967—or 90.1 per cent against aid to Russia.

Of the 34,616 clergymen polled 13,155, or 37.6 per cent, replied.

According to the Catholic Laymen's Committee it made every effort to insure a fair and impartial survey. The committee, although sponsoring the poll, did not handle the balloting. The post-card questionnaires were purchased and printed by a printing firm who then delivered the cards directly to the office of the *Official Catholic Directory* in New York City. The staff of the *Directory,* which is published annually under Church authority, addressed and mailed the cards.

Returns were delivered to a certified public accountant, who did the tabulating.

The questions were: (1) Do you favor the United States engaging in a shooting war outside the Western Hemisphere? (2) Are you in favor of the United States aiding the Communistic Russian Government?

No cards were sent to Bishops, Archbishops, Cardinals or to Army and Navy chaplains. Aside from those omissions the list of those polled comprised the entire body of the Catholic Clergy in the United States.

' ' '

Thus it may be fairly stated that the great majority of Catholic priests in this nation, when they can speak honestly under

(Continued on Page 13)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 12)

the protection of anonymity, are opposed to the Administration's war policy and to the position taken by a few of the Catholic hierarchy, notably Bishop Hurley of Florida.

Significantly, 89 per cent of the Florida priests voting went on record against war and 84.8 per cent against any aid to Soviet Russia.

Opinion in the Eastern, Northern and Western parts of the United States was nearly 95 per cent against war and U. S. aid to the Soviet.

Indicative of the Catholic clergy's regard for President Roosevelt's flippant inference that freedom of religion exists in Communist Russia was the fact that 7,806 of the 13,155 votes were sent in following that presidential *faux pas.*

Returns are still being received, the Committee announced, with the proportion against war and aid to Russia approximately the same as that noted.

Mahatma Gandhi

THE WISE MAN of India has spoken.

Celebrating his 72nd birthday, Mahatma Gandhi, who wields more influence in India than any other living person, warned America that she "should think fifty times" before going any further to aid Britain.

Continued the Seer: "She should withdraw any help unless there are guarantees of human liberties. If America is true to her tradition, she should say what Abraham Lincoln would say. America would lose nothing by making stipulations concerning her war help."

Up to date, Mr. Roosevelt has not spoken one word in favor of the 300-million Indians —far greater in number than the population of Hitlerized Europe—from whom the four liberties have long been stolen.

What public pronouncement has Churchill and his group of bungling Britishers made in favor of India? Absolutely none.

What offers of freedom have these same Londoners made to the Irish people? Absolutely none.

Mr. Roosevelt should be more factual than he is when he talks of freedom. Hitler's aggressions, as he calls them, are of but recent date. Britain's are hoary with age.

Both India and Ireland have been wearing a crown of thorns for so many centuries that we Americans are prone to believe that bleeding brows are part of the entity of these countries.

Both India and Ireland have been supping the vinegar sponge for so many years that we are led to believe their children can thrive only upon this nourishment.

Mahatma Gandhi's warning to the United States is timely.

Could the thousands of slain Irish amalgamate their voices in one spokesman as India does in Gandhi, the same message would be sent to Americans from the Land of the Shamrock.

England Changed

DR. J. FRANK NORRIS, minister of the Temple Baptist Church of Detroit, recently returned to America from London. While in England he had the opportunity to speak to many leaders and to observe the general conditions.

In his paper, *The Fundamentalist,* he presented the following survey of his observations:

"Even a first impression of England today should dispel any idea of normality. For what at once strikes a traveler who has known the country well is its shabby, unkempt, semi-dilapidated look. Normally Britain is the trimmest, neatest, and in some respects prettiest of countries; its streets clean, its stone and brick buildings sprucely kept, its lawns and gardens delightful. Today the country seems neglected.

"Houses, shops, fences and vehicles have gone unpainted. Only the most urgent repairs have been made on buildings . . . The government has systematically diverted painters, carpenters and plumbers to wartime tasks. Iron railings have been torn down for scrap. Roads are full of holes and rough spots worn by heavy lorries. In the railway cars upholstery is worn threadbare. In many homes furniture, rugs and wallpaper are growing dingy. Add to all this the terrible wreckage of the air raids, and the island shows a decided physical deterioration.

"The people, especially outside London, are more shabbily dressed than of old. They wear old suits, old dresses, old shoes. To be sure, many women under 40 are in uniform, and they and the soldiers look fresh and neat.

"Silk stockings have largely given way to wool or cotton, and many girls on the streets are with no stockings at all. Top hats and evening dress are seldom seen in even the smartest restaurants. All this is part of the general stringency of materials; a stringency that runs through leather goods, rubber goods, paper goods (even wrapping paper), and such metal goods as razor blades, typewriters and tinware. It extends even to corsets or girdles and to false teeth.

"Much more land is being tilled. Nearly 4-million acres of grass have been ploughed up and seeded.

* * *

"The second great fact which is likely to strike a traveler in Britain is the immensity of the recent shifts in population. The British people used to be static—at least, by American standards—but the war has caused tremendous displacements . . . A large part of the population movement is the result of the bombing . . . The semi-deserted look of great areas of West London —Mayfair, Kensington, Bayswater, Chelsea —is as depressing as the actual destruction. Newspaper men told me that at least a million people, possibly two millions, have left the city.

"The result has been a huge expansion of the populations of safer places, like Winchester, Reading, Salisbury, Bath, Exeter, Gloucester, Oxford, York and Durham. The social effects of this dispersion and resettlement of the population will be enormous. Many people will never go back again to their old homes.

"London may well be permanently smaller. The scattering of slum children throughout the country has raised the level of their health, their manners and their ambitions. Regional lines and class lines are being broken down as never before; the people are being better unified.

"Everybody talks about food. It is a basic theme of conversation, yet any Briton would laugh if he were asked whether he were undernourished. The testimony of physicians is that public health was never better. People are no worse for eating less meat and sugar.

"They have forgotten what a real steak or a large size chop looks like. Tea, sugar and butter are jealously guarded by all housewives. A guest who comes for even one meal is distinctly more welcome if he brings his own supply.

"Most of the people now eat their porridge and drink their tea with little sweeting, save some saccharine, though restaurants serve a lump or two of sugar on demand. The arrival of rhubarb and England's magnificent gooseberries presented housewives with a terrible dilemma. Here was something to satisfy the craving for fruit, but how could it be made palatable without sugar?

* * *

"The Government demands that everybody give his effort to the utmost. Food rationing offers the rich and poor much the same fare. A millionaire can get no more red meat, butter or jam than a working man, and he cannot get as much cheese as the farmer or miner. Clothes rationing gives everyone much the same wardrobe.

"Nearly everybody, except young children and old people, does some socially valuable work. Many boys of 15 and 16 pay income tax on their munitions wages, and I have seen a grandmother bicycle away to her daily job in a maternity hospital. People who have lost nearly everything—kindred, home, savings—can be encountered on every side. Few who have suffered loss care to complain.

"Nearly everyone in Britain expects to be poor after the war. 'We shall all be poor together,' they say. It is hard to see how any rich can survive."

The Middle Class

"THE ONLY way for the middle class to prevent its own ultimate disappearance is for it to learn the things that are for its good, and then to unite in supporting them," warns the *Wall Street Journal.*

That is excellent, and timely, counsel.

"The middle class in this country is certainly the most numerous," the editorial continues. "It includes probably three-quarters and perhaps more of the population.

"As such, theoretically, it should be able to prevent damage to itself through Governmental action. It never yet has effectively done so.

"The reason," continues the *Journal,* "is that it has no particular 'class-consciousness' and is easily deceived—because it is largely ignorant—by the plausible appeal of demagogues, with the result that a large part of it votes with the 'proletarian' group, being quite unaware that it is voting its own destruction."

And the middle class, it might be added, is you, and you and you—US!

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.

U. S. Needs Voice of Fr. Coughlin

TO THE EDITOR:

At no time in the last ten years did the United States need the voice of Father Coughlin as it does right now.

We all must bring him back on the air, for the salvation of our country's future.

Is the following plan feasible?

Let us insist that the larger radio stations which carried his program in former years find sufficient time for his truthful message to America.

The cost will be defrayed, as always, by our individual contributions.

In case of a definite refusal by his former stations to sell him time, let us publish a full list of all their commercial programs and their sponsors.

Then let all the friends of Father Coughlin write directly to those advertising sponsors notifying them that we will refuse to listen to that radio station.

The Jews of this country boycotted Ford and Germany also Italy. We had a boycott against Japan a few years ago. They all brought results.

Why not use this weapon to force the powers that be to let us hear Father Coughlin?

—J.A. McF.

Forest Hills, L. I.

Editor's Note—The boycott is illegal; to advocate it is a violation of Federal statute.

Write to Your Radio Station

TO THE EDITOR:

Acknowledging your letter of September 16th, requesting that I renew my subscription to SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

I would like to do so, but I have a desire to help a little girl who is now selling SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine outside our parish church.

My wife and I get a great kick out of hearing this little tot calling out "SOCIAL JUSTICE" as we leave the church.

In a recent issue I was very much enthused by a letter signed "E.A.M." of Mound, Minnesota. It was captioned, "Consistent Record of Inconsistency" and the sixth paragraph said:

"But we supinely allow a little *clique* to put the great Father Coughlin off the air."

The thought comes to my mind that it is high time the followers of Father Coughlin do something about it!

Why not start a letter writing campaign to all our local radio stations demanding the return of Father Coughlin to the radio. We will pay for it; we always have. If your local radio station says that it will accept a broadcasting contract if it is offered to them, send that information along to SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

We have all wasted too much time. The time for action is *NOW*.

—G.F.M.

Philadelphia, Pa.

More Precious Than $10,000!

TO THE EDITOR:

I am a Protestant and have been buying SOCIAL JUSTICE National Weekly at a Catholic book store in this city; but lately a neighbor has been furnishing me with your good magazine when he has finished reading it himself.

It is indeed a terrible situation when one cannot depend upon the daily newspapers for the genuine information as you publish it from time to time. I am sure that the Protestant people for the most part are lulled entirely to sleep by the soft-stepping press and propaganda-blindness.

I have a letter from Robert Edward Edmondson, at one time quite famous for his bulletins, in which he states: "General events are moving in the right direction but I greatly fear that, owing to propaganda-blindness, our people will have to go through hell before they will acquire liberation wisdom essential to a broad action against the subverters of our American traditional fundamentals."

On page 14 of your Sept. 29th issue you have an article entitled, "If Americans Knew the Plan, There'd Be an Awakening." It should have read: "If Americans would only get their eyes open!"

I maintain that the people opposed to Communism had better get busy and work toward a concerted effort to impeach the whole rotten bunch!

We cannot start house-cleaning too soon; and if we do not, they are bound to spend this country into bankruptcy.

I only wish I had $10,000 I would turn it over to SOCIAL JUSTICE to help in fighting the people's battle. You deserve all the help and encouragement that it is possible to receive.

—J.O.W.

Indianapolis, Ind.

Editor's Note—We thank you for your good wishes, but, honestly, we would rather have ten more readers like yourself — on your own street and every other street in your fine city — than the $10,000!

You Can't Believe a Red; Religious Promise Worthless

TO THE EDITOR:

It is regrettable that so many of our Catholic magazines have adopted a "pussy-footing" attitude towards Communism. Since Soviet Russia was attacked by Nazi Germany, articles against atheistic Communism have been conspicuous by their absence. The hatred of the Reds for God, and the millions of Christian murders committed by them, seem to have been forgotten, so long as they fight against the German nation.

I do not think we need fear Naziism in America. Its menace is being very efficiently combatted by the movies, the radio, the newspapers and current "literature." Yet these same branches of the public service have consistently pursued a "conspiracy of silence," as Pius XI ably described it, towards Communism and its unspeakable atrocities in Mexico, Spain and Russia.

The "patriotic morale" of the Soviet armies, which we are now reading about, can be ascribed to three reasons:

1) Coercion by terrorism — any wavering on the part of a soldier means instant death at the hands of his political commissar;

2) Ignorance of the very young soldiers who do not at all remember the freedom of other days, but were born and reared to the heritage of slavery and want. Ever since the beginning, the Communist regime has ruthlessly exterminated the older population in Russia, expecting youth, deprived of God, to obey like sheep.

3) The Red army and navy have always been a privileged class in Russia; they have been clothed and fed far better than the common masses. Some soldiers descended so low as to be willing to execute their own compatriots if it would earn for them an extra ration of food.

There seems to be no worthy aim or reason for this country to aid or collaborate with Communist Russia. I, who know Russia, am at a complete loss to see what good such collaboration might achieve.

On the other hand our Government of the United States should miss no opportunity to vigorously discourage the attempts of Soviet propagandists to capitalize upon this aid. Contrary-wise, our New Deal administration has been affectionately flirting with Communism for the past eight years.

I am surprised at the naivete of the belief that if our State Department insists on obtaining guarantees of religious freedom in Russia, and if the Soviet government verbally or otherwise gives such a guarantee, that the "opium dens" (churches) will be opened again.

The Communist rulers are true children of their father, the devil, who is also the father of lies. They will promise everything and do nothing. So long as they are in power in Russia, religion shall always remain the "opium of the people."

We should never for a moment forget the words of Christ: *"Either you are with Me or against Me,"* there is no "middle" path. We cannot collaborate with Communism any more than we can "collaborate" with sin!

—Z.V.

New York City

Is Boston Asleep?

TO THE EDITOR:

Do the Christians of this old American city realize what is being put over on them, or do they care?

Why, for example, have so many Catholics been let go on the Federal defense program?

Why does the Government send families here from Texas, Carolina and Maine on defense work—just ordinary carpenters — and keep them working while fine Catholic men, heads of families, are dismissed from Quincy Navy yard?

How can Russian Communists settle so quickly in the United States, specifically in West Roxbury, Mass., and get defense jobs, while they still praise the great country of Soviet Russia; while at the same time Catholic families living next door cannot even get defense work and their wage earners are idle?

How can good Catholic mothers sit back and see their children running to movies that are barred from other cities, without even uttering a word of protest?

How, for one more instance, can Russian Jews put up their store right along side of our parochial schools and sell our Christian children their filthy magazines?

Have the Communists and the irreligious Jews taken over Boston, as they did New York?

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine has been barred from the Jewish newsstands; now just try and buy a copy of our beloved Cardinal's paper, *"The Boston Pilot!"*

—Mrs. M.R.

Boston, Mass.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

People Betrayed by Leaders' Silence

TO THE EDITOR:

The depths to which the New Deal is stooping to induce the American people to sacrifice their form of Government on behalf of atheistic Communism, is most alarming. Perhaps the most hideous example towards this end is the determination to destroy the unity of the Ancient Church.

This perfidy was brought home to the common man at the Knights of Columbus convention at Atlantic City, a few months ago.

The rank and file were in accord with the teaching of the head of the Church, and accordingly framed a resolution condemning Communism as the greatest evil in the world; and also condemning aid for Soviet Russia.

In spite of the very unusual presence of four dignitaries of the Church, including our own Archbishop Mooney of Detroit, this resolution was quashed!

Disregarding all the "alibis" for this cowardice, the Catholic people are always horrified at the idea of their Bishops, as Bishops, muddling in politics. But in this instance we perceive the "betrayal" of honest people by those who are supposed to have their authority from the Apostles—and that in behalf of the cheapest kind of politics ever to curse a great country!

Now, more than ever, does America need the courageous leadership of men who will not betray themselves, their country and their God, for position, power or money.

The greatest danger to this free nation today is not a foreign Hitler, but that element within our nation which has fled like rats from Europe. This is the element that are called "patriots" here, while those who remain behind to face the foe are called "cowards"!

Cowards indeed! Because, as in France, the eldest daughter of the Church, they are saving their nation for Christ.

—J.C.

Detroit, Michigan

Contest Still On!

TO THE EDITOR:

Congratulations to my fellow contestants in the recent Jubilee Circulation Contest on SOCIAL JUSTICE!

This particular contest is ended, but we followers of social justice have a still greater contest ahead of us, which is, briefly stated, Christ vs. anti-Christ.

Following SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine since its first edition, I have always found this magazine the best informer of present world conditions.

So, I beg each and every reader to renew themselves in this "contest" that is facing us today. Contact your Christian friends, and others, either by phone or a personal call, and contact your neighborhood store. Suggest that they sell SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

It can be done; it must be done!

—J.B. DeM.

St. Louis, Mo.

Write Your Own List

TO THE EDITOR:

I just heard a good definition. It says:

"Paranoiacs are people who are suffering from fatty enlargement of the ego. They want the best seats in the synagogue, demand banquets, compliments, obeisance, and, in order to see what the papers will say the next morning, they sometimes obligingly commit suicide!

"The paranoiac imagines he is being wronged, and that someone has it in for him, and that the world is down on him. He is given to that which is strange, peculiar, uncertain, eccentric and erratic."

I have been compiling a list of public figures who seem to fall under this classification.

Here is another good definition:

"Hundred-point men may vary much in ability, but this is always true — they are safe men to deal with. Hundred-point men may look just like all other men, or dress like them, or talk like them, but what they do is true to their own nature.

"The hundred-point man is himself."

I never knew a hundred-point man who was not one brought up from early youth to make himself useful, and to economize in the matter of time and money. He is always trying to do things that will benefit the 90 per cent of the people.

I also have a list of Americans whose utterances and whose actions place them in this category. Readers might write their own list. Yours for good government.

—H.A.R.

St. Henry, Ohio.

No Exchange Now

TO THE EDITOR:

It is two to one that there will be no exchange of war prisoners, now.

The exchange was talked of — England to France and France direct to England.

To agree with Britain the advantage must always be with the umpire; but consider the anxiety, morale and temper of people. They will have questions to ask regarding facts and government.

Great Britain will seek to make capital out of some "boner" and, after haggling, will blame some other power for failure of the negotiations.

Exchange now is out of tune with *"Return of the Conqueror."* And, what a "sight to set before the king" if all the war wounded were returned now!

No—the people, the people—there will be no exchange now.

—L.E.B.

Washington, D. C.

Time to Fly Own Flag!



—From the St. Louis Post-Dispatch

Refugees Take Jobs

TO THE EDITOR:

I bought my first copy of your magazine last week. It is very excellent, and I only wish more could be sold.

I note one letter headed *"Recommended Reading"* by C.H.H. of Portland, Maine. I would like to add one more "must" to that list.

Scribner's Commentator, published at Lake Geneva, Wisconsin. A trial subscription for six months is $1.

My Jewish newsdealer told me that "it is not published any more." This is not true. This fearless publication — not unlike your own — has been barred from some newsstands.

In the September issue, for example, the magazine gives some facts about thousands of refugees swarming into this country—most of them Jews.

While our Christian boys are away to war, in comes these Jewish refugees and when our boys come home they will find a refugee in their jobs.

There are committees in all our larger cities — and even in many small ones—who are placing these refugees and rushing through the details of their citizenship. Most of them are "married" or have some other excuse to keep them out of army service.

It is our duty, if we love America, to let the people know this side of a story that the newspapers dare tell nothing of.

Lindbergh doesn't need to back down on his statements. We all know he told the truth.

Keep up the good work. I was in the last war and my job was promised me when I returned. Oh, yeah! I returned and caught them in their deception!

—America First

Cincinnati, Ohio

The Home Job First

TO THE EDITOR:

"Army to Build Up Health of 200,000 Dropped in Draft."

This is a headline in the *New York Times* of October 11th. The item further states that "nearly 50 per cent of 2-million men examined for selective service were found unfit mentally or physically."

Truly this is an indictment of the American—and "democratic" —way of life!

Remember way back when President Roosevelt said that one-third of the nation were "ill-fed, ill-clothed and ill-housed." Isn't it possible that many of these youths are from that neglected third?

But before the President got around to the improvement of the economic status of that third, he suddenly became intensely interested in "four freedoms" for the rest of the world.

Would it not be better to do something about the home job first?

—C.D.

Brooklyn, N. Y.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

It Spells 'Usury'

TO THE EDITOR:

I know that the American public and the readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, and probably many Jews, cannot understand why certain influential Jews insist so desperately that Father Coughlin is "anti-Semitic."

Father Coughlin is 100 per cent "anti-Semitic" simply because "Semitic" means "credit money," which means debt-interest, which makes usury!

The little group in control of the world's money power is using the Jews as a whole for a protective "money front"—just as the Bank of England uses the English for a British empire front.

Look what has happened to England!

And think what will happen next to the unsuspecting Jews flocking here to America!

I see "controlled democracy" at the present, and ever since 1918, lowering our standard of living.

What is Germany trying to build? No wonder they do not want our kind of debt-democracy! The Germans prefer to progress without Jewish (credit-money) guidance.

International Communism, as run by a *clique* of irreligious Jews, has failed. With it falls international finance, and Britishism.

But honest nations will remain.

—F.S.

Jewell, Iowa

America - First

TO THE EDITOR:

While searching through some old papers for material to be used in a story I am writing, I came across the enclosed correspondence of the original "America First" outfit.

In the event you might be disposed to pursue the pending subject further, this information might be used to differentiate between the old order and the new.

Here is the letter (dated Sept. 27, 1934):

"Dear Friend:

"Your name has been suggested as a loyal American who would be interested in the work of America First, Inc., and we are submitting herewith a brief outline of the needs for such an organization, with our aims and purposes.

"It would afford us much pleasure to add your name to our field force, and to count on your active support.

"It is our plan to make America First! the leading movement in the United States for the preservation of the Constitution and for combatting the Communists and other subversive activities.

"In order to make our work more effective, we have set a goal of more than a million patriotic Americans as a militant field force, and plan to establish units in every community in the country."

—J.F.C.

Brooklyn, N. Y.

A 'Tricky' Letter

TO THE EDITOR:

On page 17 of the October 6 issue of your magazine is printed a letter entitled, *"A Jewish Reader Writes an Honest Letter,"* signed T.J.A., Newark, N. J.

I think that this is just a clever tricky Jew letter to promote "union now." I hope your readers will not fall for this bunk.

Now that they think they have us hog-tied into this dastardly war and we cannot back out, and dare not revolt, the Jews for several weeks have been switching their tactics. Now, their propaganda is "we must collaborate with American Gentiles," and join up and pretend to be in sympathy with them in their anti-war efforts. To save their race they will even marry among us.

While, only a short while ago, all their powerful organs of opinion demanded that the U.S.A. has got to go to war. They know, however, that if Britain loses, or makes a negotiated peace, there will be a terrible reaction from the temper of the people of England and Scotland.

Letters from those countries to relatives in the United States are filled with criticism of the Jews. "Why do they not come over and fight, it is their war" is the burden of these letters.

I, and many another American, would rather see this country go through a house-cleaning revolution than see our boys slain again in a foreign war.

Mr. Roosevelt and his war-mongering stooges have certainly got a revolution coming. Their impeachment might avert it.

—J.C.C.

New York City

Means 'Union Now'

TO THE EDITOR:

I hope that none of your readers will be fooled by a letter signed "T.J.A." from Newark, N. J., in your magazine of October 6th.

His entire letter means "union now."

This letter reads with its twists and turns as if it were the work of "Houdini" Kaufman of Newark.

—L.M.W.

Cincinnati, Ohio

Asks Question Box

TO THE EDITOR:

As suggestions from readers are invited, I honestly believe that a question box started in the magazine, in which answers would be printed to questions sent in by readers, would improve interest and value of the paper very materially.

The majority of the people I meet, including myself, are very sorry that we cannot hear Father Coughlin on the radio.

Is there not some way that the people can unite and demand that our local radio stations sell him time on the air?

Keep up your good work for truth and for America.

—J.J.S.

Dodge, Nebraska

Writer Answers Points Raised by Jewish Letter

TO THE EDITOR:

Just a word to answer "T.J.A." of Newark, N. J., I congratulate him for disregarding what he calls the "unprovable hearsay" which he finds in SOCIAL JUSTICE. I note he says he got the courage to buy a copy of the magazine for the first time. If he found it filled with "unprovable hearsay" why not clarify his charge and point out just one of them.

I am in complete agreement with the writer that there is no country on earth where members of the Christian and Jewish faiths can do a better job for human society than in America. But, are they trying to do that better job? Ah, there's the catch!

Who has done a more courageous or nobler job than Father Coughlin when he warned and pleaded with the leadership of both faiths to come out publicly against atheistic Communism?

To this plea many Christian leaders committed the sin of omission by their silence; but the Jewish leaders saw fit to expose their flock to greater danger by resorting to their age-old tactics of smearing the critic

I am not so sure of Hitler's aims, but if I take the word of people who have lived in Germany before and after Hitler came to power—and also the pamphlet of H. T. Mills, an English writer, *"Money, Politics and the Future,"* —it is safe to say that Germany is still fighting to break the chains of economic strangulation tied around her neck by the *Peace Treaty of Versailles.*

I don't know how T.J.A. got the illusion that Germany "sold her most progressive people out" when after driving so many of them from the continent she has been able to conquer the rest of Europe.

Maybe I'm wrong, but when you speak of breaking down geographical boundary lines you seem to favor "union now," which (from the intensive study I have made) is nothing less than "British Israel."

Time was when America was a proving ground where all peoples could get along together in spite of polyglot words and ways. Can we say the same for America today, or ever again in the future if we get into this war?

Who controls the business and the banking of world and the governments wherever the people sleep?

—Alexis

Philadelphia, Pa.

Impossible

TO THE EDITOR:

I am a regular subscriber to your "truth magazine" SOCIAL JUSTICE.

I can hardly wait till Monday to receive the paper so that I can prepare myself with more facts and enlighten my many friends who do not read your magazine.

I pray that time will open the eyes of many people to Father Coughlin's teachings.

My immediate reason for writing you is at the instance of several members of our social group who keep asking this question:

"If the German government undertook to invade the United States through Mexico, would it be possible for them to be successful even with all the help of Mexicans themselves?

—V.K.

Springfield, Ill.

Editor's Note: No. How would they get to Mexico?

Fight for Freedom!

TO THE EDITOR:

The pro-war "Fight for Freedom Committee" held a rally here Sunday night, October 5th, at Madison Square Garden. The place was only about one-tenth full.

They could have held that rally in a telephone booth!

It took me about 15 minutes to find somebody who wanted to speak English. As a native American I felt out of place. I was up to my waist in refugees!

And our ol' ex-pal, Vendel Villkie, was chairman!

—G.M.

New York City

Becomes Subscriber

TO THE EDITOR:

Copies of your paper, SOCIAL JUSTICE, have been loaned to me recently by a friend of mine and I found them so interesting that I would like to subscribe to the paper for myself.

I think you are doing a magnificent piece of work and I pray that God will encourage you and spare Father Coughlin for many, many years to come.

—M.M.B.

San Francisco, Calif.

They Have

TO THE EDITOR:

I have just read your SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine of September 29.

I am no Catholic, but I will say that Father O'Brien's article on page 12 is one of the very best.

I hope that thousands have been able to read it

—M.H.

West Philadelphia, Pa.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

He Heard Lindbergh

TO THE EDITOR:

I am enclosing my renewal for SOCIAL JUSTICE and hope that I have not waited too long as we just cannot miss an issue.

I attended the America First meeting in Des Moines at which Col. Lindbergh was the principal speaker. After reading and listening to the viewpoints of others regarding the reaction of the large audience which attended the meeting, I would like to express mine.

We arrived early and took seats in the second balcony almost directly over the speaker's platform. We noticed small groups of boys about 15 years old scattered throughout both balconies.

These youngsters booed at everything that was said; they even booed when they should have agreed with the speaker. Your guess is as good as mine.

The people of Iowa were promised an "interventionist" speaker to answer Col. Lindbergh, but as yet we have had none. I am not wondering why.

Newton, Iowa. —A.O. McM.

Lindy's Critics Suspect

TO THE EDITOR:

How sad but true are the predictions of Father Coughlin taking place in this country today.

According to Lindbergh's Des Moines speech he said: "The three most important groups who have been pressing this country toward war are the British, the Jewish, and the Roosevelt administration." If that statement isn't correct then this writer is both deaf and cockeyed!

According to Webster's dictionary, a "group" is a number of persons holding common views. Now, a "number of persons" does not necessarily mean an entire race. Mr. Lindbergh obviously referred to those who will benefit by war; not those poor Jews who will have to fight, die and sacrifice for it.

I have carefully read Mr. Lindbergh's speech, and it has caused me to question the purpose and the intelligence of the objectors!

Pittsburgh, Pa. —H.Q.M.

Weak Kneed!

TO THE EDITOR:

Well, the cat is out of the bag!

Mr. Roosevelt says, "The constitution of Soviet Russia protects religion and the right to propagandize against it." He proclaims this as "virtually the same as" the rules applying in the United States.

He's right; the New Deal certainly matches up with Soviet Russia!

As I ponder Roosevelt's actions over the last eight years, I wonder how the American people have become such helpless slaves to the Roosevelt grin.

Right now the very supplies that are being sent to Soviet Russia are going to bound right back in our faces. Stalin will see to that. And those who suffer most will be the very sponsors of aid to Russia.

We have our boys drafted into the army by a *clique* that is out for personal revenge on Hitler — because of his treatment of the Jews, not for any good for America.

Bear in mind also that this group that is 100 per cent for the United States going into a shooting war, is using every known device to avoid the draft for themselves.

Nor will Mr. Roosevelt's grin win the Holy Father to overlook Stalin's anti-Christian regime, for the sake of beating Hitler. The President should read past history more carefully; if he did I'm sure he'd never try to "kid" the Pope.

Hartford, Conn. —M.S.

Two Constitutions

TO THE EDITOR:

The President has said it would be well if Americans would read the Soviet "constitution," especially article 124 on the freedom of religion.

I believe it would be for the good of our country if the President himself would read the United States *Constitution* as adopted in 1787; especially, Section viii, clause xi, namely:

"The Congress shall have power to declare war!"

Philadelphia, Pa. —N. DeN.

Billions for Britain But Neglect for Veterans

TO THE EDITOR:

May I offer a few quotations pertinent to remarks by President Roosevelt re religion in Russia, Lindbergh and the Jews, and Germany vs. the U.S.A.

I am not a graduate of Harvard, but I can read—and think.

Dr. Nahum Goldmann, executive member of the Jewish Agency for Palestine, and until the outbreak of the war representative of the World Jewish Congress at the League of Nations, declared in the *New York Times* (Aug. 22, 1940):

"Survival of the Jewish people in this most brutal of all periods of history depends upon the race merging its efforts, organizing collective activities, uniting in discipline and showing fighting spirit. This is needed in order to cope with the totalitarian powers."

Dr. Goldmann pictured almost half of Europe's 10-million Jews under Nazi domination and the other 5-million being under the Soviet regime, not free to live according to their religious traditions or to give expression to their national aspiration.

"There is no such thing as a special and privileged fate for one part of the Jewish people," he said. "We are one people with the same past, and we must recognize the same future. We will all be free or we will all be enslaved."

Dr. Goldmann made this address to Jews in Chicago.

To me the above remarks refute President Roosevelt's on religion in Russia and bear out in part Mr. Lindbergh's charge that Jews, British and the Roosevelt administration are pulling for war.

* * *

Spencer Williams, Moscow representative of the American-Russian Chamber of Commerce for 10 years, said:

"The main detestation of Roumanians has traditionally been Russia because they knew that Russia would destroy their fabric of life, including the church. If they must make a choice they would prefer to fall into German hands." (*New York Times*, Oct. 31, 1940).

Roumania knew about Russian methods while Mr. Roosevelt's "advisors" counsel him otherwise.

* * *

Woodrow Wilson, Ph.D., LL.D., professor of jurisprudence and politics at Princeton University, said Sept. 9, 1901:

"To talk of giving to one people the liberties of another is to talk of making a gift of character, a thing built up by the contrivance of no single generation, but by the slow providence which binds generations together by a common training. Real liberty is a thing bred not of institutions nor of the benevolent inventions of statesmen, but of the spiritual forces of which institutions themselves are the off-spring and creation."

Let Mr. Roosevelt, as Wilson's successor, take note.

John Adams, second president of the United States, and a graduate of Harvard, said (*Harper's History of U.S.*):

"The united force of Europe will not be able to subdue us, the only way to keep us from setting up for ourselves is to disunite us!"

United we stand, divided we fall, is an old truth.

We are divided today because of false statements and half truths read and believed; and a powerful minority backed by big money. The individuals responsible for this division are definitely known and they should be impeached and tried for treason!

The welfare of the United States of America comes before anything else!

Men in the armed forces of the U.S.A. had better tell their folks at home to prepare to send bundles to Veterans' hospitals; because if we go to war, those lucky enough to get back to hospitals will find themselves forgotten as are the men of World War No. 1.

Billions for Britain — [illegible] in one-quarter century—but [illegible] age of orderlies to take [illegible] invalid veterans. Shame on [illegible] ica!

[illegible].H.

Brooklyn, N.Y.

"I'm Glad You Dug Up Your Constitution, Joe; I Can't Find Ours."

—Cartoonist Parrish in the Chicago Tribune

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Be It Recorded

(Continued from Page 3)

tive brains, we, the great arsenal, have not been producing as much as the British Isles and Canada together, the pigmy fighters, have been producing.

The reason for this is stark inefficiency in the organization and management of production.

' ' '

The picture is disheartening both to Americans and to Britishers. When private industry managed the industrial affairs at home, there was a plenitude of raw material and produced goods. When the New Deal Administration assumed charge of activities, we began to feel the want of aluminum, steel, oil, tin, silk and a dozen other commodities.

Things have come to such a pass that now a man is prohibited building a home costing more than $6,000 because we are told there is a lack of material and manpower for such projects. On the other hand, we are being warned that hundreds of thousands of men will be thrown out of work in the automotive and other industries because there is a lack of materials for defense.

Such a vicious circle of circumstances luminously point out the "super-dooper" meddling which characterizes Franklin D. Roosevelt and his un-elected bureaucrats who are hastening the end of the American way of life.

It is reported on reliable authority that Josef Stalin had completed his plans to negotiate peace with Hitler on the day Averill Harriman and Lord Beaverbrook arrived to dissuade him from implementing this decision. The tools of dissuasion employed by these emissaries were the usual ones of promises of men, munitions and money to support the Russian cause — the same tools which the imperialists employed in France, Belgium, Luxembourg, Norway, Denmark and Greece.

And history will record that these same tools will prove as inefficient in Russia as they proved in the other countries; for neither Churchill nor Roosevelt "deliver the goods."

Thus, as the severe Russian Winter sets in, it will prove to be an ally of Hitler. The Nazi soldiers will have encircled Leningrad, Odessa and Moscow. The civilian populations in these metropolitan centers will lack food, clothing and fuel. A debacle will ensue. Russia will disavow its Communism, its dictators and its erstwhile allies.

While Hitler will be master of Europe, cruel Winter will hold no brief for embattled England.

Ten thousand German airplanes will pour their devastating bombs upon a heart-sick population—a population which, if the truth were brought forth, already has turned thumbs down on the wordy warrior, Winston Churchill.

Some day, peace will break out to plague America. Some day, headlines will appear in our newspapers advising us that Churchill has fled. And, some day, disappointed followers of the New Deal will clamor for the resignation of the gentleman from Hyde Park, who has led us to the brink of chaos.

' ' '

Indeed, peace may hold more fears for us than we suspect. We have gambled in producing offensive weapons under the pretense of a defensive program. The result will be that we are prepared for neither offense nor defense.

Meanwhile, the Japanese have played their cards most cautiously in this international poker game. When all our blue chips are thrown on the table; when Britain's four-flushing hand will have been called; when Russia's measly pair will have been liquidated; when the repeal of the *Neutrality Act* will have challenged the Nazis to show their hand—then, and not until then, if at all, Japan will strike in the Pacific waters to disengage our fleet from its Atlantic activities.

Following that, peace will break out. From the depths of a depression we Americans, whose shoulders will be loaded down with unbearable taxation, will begin to crawl and climb to the surface of things. The fires of national disunity will smoulder as isolationists will blame interventionists for the predicament in which we find ourselves. Class hatred will grow apace as non-New Dealers will turn against New Dealers and their supporters in a manner never witnessed before in this country. Labor will clamor for its leaders to take over Government. The jobless and hungry will clamor for Government to take over capital.

Indeed, we who have lived through other depressions; we who have witnessed the turmoil which followed 1929 are nowise inclined to "play ostrich" in the face of the storm impending—a storm created by the Sahara winds of the New Dealers and their leaders.

Kuhn Loeb Tries Again

THE INTERSTATE Commerce Commission has been asked to deny an application for the merger of eight truck lines which, if accomplished, would constitute a monopoly in the trucking business in a great part of the United States.

The appeal, in the form of a brief filed with the I.C.C., alleges participation in the proposed merger of Kuhn, Loeb and Company, New York banking house.

It appears that this banking house was party in desiring to establish the "Associated Transport, Inc."

This corporation was seeking authority to acquire control of eight common carriers operating over 37,000 miles of highway in the United States.

The eight companies involved are the Arrow Carrier Corp., Barnwell Brothers, Inc., Consolidated Motor Lines, Inc., Horton Motor Lines Inc., McCarthy Freight System Inc., Moran Transportation Lines Inc., Southeastern Motor Lines Inc., and Transportation Inc.

The operating revenue of these corporations in 1940 is estimated at close to $18½-million.

' ' '

The Department of Justice, in asking that a halt be put to this attempt to monopolize transportation in 19 states over the public highways, charged in its brief:

"The proposed merger is primarily a consolidation of the most powerful competing motor carriers on the Atlantic seaboard . . .

"Extending from Northern New York and New England to Florida and Louisiana, the merged companies would have a route coverage and drawing power sufficient to secure for the merged lines an unshakable hold on the long-haul traffic in the territory concerned."

Kuhn, Loeb and Company has been in the transportation financing business for many years. It is renowned for its activities in the railroad field.

We might add that it is also renowned for being associated with financing part of the Russo-Japanese war back in 1904-5.

In 1917 Jacob Schiff, one time member of the firm of Kuhn, Loeb and Company, is also renowned for having participated in financing the Russian revolution.

' ' '

According to Oscar Brumback's latest book (p. 33):

"American Secret Service reports reveal how Schiff financed Lenin and Trotzky in 1917 in overturning the government of the Czar.

"We have been told also how Schiff's firm (Kuhn, Loeb & Company, who are said to have boasted that no man can become President of the United States without their endorsement and support) 'became the financial reconstructors of the Union Pacific Railroad about 1897; and in 1901 it engaged in a struggle with the Great Northern Railway for the possession of the Northern Pacific Railway. This resulted in a panic on the stock exchange (May 9, 1901) in which the firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company held the situation at its mercy. Schiff's . . . action . . . caused his firm to become one of the leading influences in the railway financial world, controlling more than 22,000 miles of railways and $1,321,000,000 stock. He floated large stock issues of Union Pacific, Pennsylvania Railroad, Baltimore & Ohio, Norfolk & Western, Western Union Telegraph, and many others. He subscribed for and floated the three large Japanese war loans in 1904 and 1905.' "

Winant for President

THE *British American,* monthly magazine published in Los Angeles as official organ of the British War Relief Association of Southern California, has already decided on its choice for President in 1944.

Their candidate is none other than John Gilbert Winant.

In a recent issue of the *British American* the virtues of Prof. Winant are extolled, and there is also a quote from William L. Shirer's *"Berlin Diary"* wherein we learn that this reporter's choice agrees with that of the *British American.*

The magazine says, in part: "We were gratified to note in William L. Shirer's *'Berlin Diary,'* the following lines: 'More than any other American in public life whom I know, Winant understands the social forces and changes that have been at work in the last decade both at home and in Europe, and that are now in new ferment as a result of the war . . . I think he would make a good President to succeed Roosevelt in 1944.' "

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

B'nai B'rith ex-Chief Backs Lindbergh

DR. HYMAN LISCHNER, former president of B'nai B'rith at San Diego, and prominent Pacific coast physician, in an unsolicited letter to the America First Committee, endorsed the stand taken by former Colonel Lindbergh against war.

Dr. Lischner said that Lindbergh "repeats wisely the lesson Israel ought to have learned through the teachings of her prophets and philosophers."

His letter to the America First Committee follows:

"General Robert E. Wood

"Chairman America First Committee

"Chicago, Illinois

"Dear Sir:

"Will you permit an humble American born in Russia, of Jewish parentage, to give expression of gratitude to the noble service your Committee is performing in holding up the light of reason and unbiased judgment amidst gathering clouds of emotion and passion. Those of us, and I believe there are millions, who feel that our trust and confidence was betrayed by 'campaign oratory' must not allow ourselves to be again swayed and confused by the oratory and side quips of the hired 'attorney for the defense.'

"Let us read and re-read the far-sighted words—aye, prophetic words of that courageous and robust American, Col. Charles A. Lindbergh.

"Where, in the name of American good sense, can we find even the slightest suggestion of race prejudice in all his talks or writings? There may be honest differences of opinion as to whether we should move toward or threaten to go to war, but can anyone with reason and good sight deny that the three groups named are among those most important in 'pressing this country toward war'?

"Why single out the Jewish group? Are they not classed equally with the very respectable British and Administration groups?

"As a matter of fact, Col. Lindbergh is sympathetic with the feelings and natural concern of the American Jews for their brethren in Germany. He holds that they, as also the British, have real cause to be concerned and are more consistent and sincere in the pursuit of their policies.

"The progressive war propaganda of the Administration, with its continually increasing governing power, stands alone apparently uninfluenced by any compunction of a violation of trust or by any natural feeling for the immediate welfare of its own people, particularly the youth of America.

"Col. Lindbergh but repeats wisely the lesson Israel ought to have learned through the teachings of her prophets and philosophers and in the experience she has gone through during the many centuries of persecution in various lands. Are the diseases, the materialistic concepts, the race and class prejudices in Europe today less contagious than the flu or the emotional and economic diseases carried over from Europe during the last war?

* * *

"Mark well these classic words of Lindbergh: 'Tolerance is a virtue that depends upon peace and strength. History shows that it cannot survive war and devastation.' It is no discredit to the Jewish people that a large 'ownership and influence in our motion picture industry' is in their hands, but there is danger in the possibility of allowing their personal feelings and natural concern to influence their own judgment and the judgment of the American people as a whole.

"It is no discredit to the man in a jury panel who is eliminated from jury service because of personal interest in the case. (Incidentally, it is an old truism that increase of power for any party or group demands an increased sense of moral responsibility to the whole. A little self-imposed timely house-cleaning along several lines may save some real embarrassment from the outside that is sure to come in the near future to our movie magnates and directors, and their pious defenders—Jews or Gentiles.)

"Is it prejudice on the part of some of our representative men who see, for instance, self-interested bias in a labor group, capitalistic group, or a governmental group?

"As a nation, we have not yet overcome our European heredity of group bias — racial, religious, and economic. We are sick, suffering from lack of co-ordination economically, mentally and emotionally. We are going through a transitional period threatening the very heart of America.

"How dare we pursue steps that ultimately must lead us into the very maelstrom of hatred and passion?

"Our urgent duty now is to stabilize our emotions; to rationalize our thinking; to put our own house in order; to gather our moral, mental and physical strength—that our commanding voice may be heard and obeyed. How often have we witnessed one man with moral courage and strong voice stopping a panic-stricken mob from destroying itself and others?

"It is the silent inner prayer of a hundred million Americans that the leaders of the America First Committee, and others active in the effort to keep this country out of war, do not permit themselves to be confused by side issues and differences of opinion as to what Col. Lindbergh, Senator Wheeler, Clark, Nye or Johnson may or may not say, as individuals, but all continue perseveringly united on fundamental principles in the supreme effort now necessary to save this nation from impendnig disaster, holding it to its manifest destiny as a Republic of Brotherhood and a haven for the heavy laden and oppressed.

"Yours for America as a Whole,
" 'One and Indivisible,'
(Signed) HYMAN LISCHNER

"P.S. 'At what point then is the approach of danger to be expected? I answer, if it ever reach us, it must spring up amongst us; it cannot come from abroad . . .'—Abraham Lincoln.

" 'We can do our greatest service to civilization by strengthening here in the Western Hemisphere free institutions and free men and women. That is not isolation. It is a service to all mankind.

" 'But to send our boys out to kill. That is intervention.'—Herbert Hoover.

" 'Instead of agitating for war, the Jewish groups in this country should be opposing it in every possible way, for they will be among the first to feel its consequences. Tolerance is a virtue that depends upon peace and strength. History shows that it cannot survive war and devastation.' — Charles A. Lindbergh."

To Be Read By All Boys Out of The Alger Book Stage

Do YOU look forward to Sunday afternoon—the great American time for relaxation?

Do you enjoy that "free as a bird" feeling when the pressure of your work or school duties for the week is lifted?

No need to ask. We all do. For some it means a show—for others a sports event. Some relish these few hours to tinker with hobby material. Not in the minority are those who look forward to a ride in the country or a leisurely soda at the corner drug store.

But no matter what we do or like—we need a little extra money to be really happy.

Selling SOCIAL JUSTICE is just about the easiest way that you can obtain that pin money. It doesn't take a lot of time—just one morning a week and the rest of the time is still your own.

Look—we have hundreds of boys—just like yourself—who are making anywhere from $1.50 to $5 in a few hours. After they work up to $2 or $3 profit, they put the surplus away towards next Summer's dream of new golf clubs, a new baseball glove or to apply on a camp fund.

Where do you sell the paper?

Well—at your parish church if there is no one there now. If the church is covered —we can furnish you with some hot leads. But hurry — churches are rapidly being taken and if you want one you'd better not delay

But church is by no means the only place to sell—that is only the "easy" way. Sometimes, it is not even the easiest.

Many of our best earners have built up a sales route—not only delivering the magazine to a list of weekly regulars, but leaving five papers with the friendly grocer, some more at the corner drugstore, and some with the popular meat dealer, and following up with new papers when these are gone.

The main thing is that the magazine is in demand; people are looking for it—your job is to make it easier for them to find.

Don't envy your pal's bank account and pacify yourself by thinking that you lack the "spark" he has. Not long ago, he read a column full of opportunity just like this but he acted immediately. Will you?

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING COMPANY
ROYAL OAK, MICHIGAN

Please rush me details on becoming a distributor:

Name_____

Address_____

City and State_____

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

F.D.R.'s Great Omission

THE PRESIDENT has often expressed (for public consumption) his great love for peace.

Since 1934 he has been a partisan President in so far as he has been attempting to line up America with the destiny of Great Britain against Germany.

Refusing to understand the miserable position into which Germany was placed as a result of the *Treaty of Versailles*, Mr. Roosevelt made no Executive effort to remedy a situation that was bound to breed war.

On the other hand, he excluded Germany from all good neighborly programs; he refused to accept Germany on the same basis that he accepted England, France and every other country in the matters of trade and commerce.

All that belongs to the past with its tragedy. All that belongs to a policy of aggression which has resulted in the world's worst war.

As for the future, a peace offensive led by President Roosevelt with the approval of Congress can accomplish more today for the defense of America and of the world than any other diplomatic program available.

Common sense tells us that Hitler cannot invade America any more than America can invade Germany. Supporting that view, Britain had 3-million soldiers available in England while Adolf Hitler was employing his forces on the Russian front. And Great Britain could not invade Germany across 20 miles of water.

* * *

Some time ago a "V for Victory" campaign was initiated. Following that, the newspapers were filled with accounts of uprisings in Belgium, Holland, Norway and especially France —uprisings intended to overthrow the power of Hitler.

Now this, for the most part, was simply desperate propaganda originated by word warriors when their armies failed in the field.

As a matter of fact, the newspapers overplayed their hands with the "V" campaign, because the internal troubles in the above-mentioned countries were actually no more serious than the industrial troubles in the United States during the same period when our country was, supposedly, enjoying peace.

Americans couldn't help putting 2 and 2 together.

While American newspapers played up in headlines the protest of many French and others against Hitler; the German newspapers were playing up, in just as big headlines, the use of American troops to force workers into factories, as well as the attendant strikes and instances of sabotage which have been happening inside our confines.

Appraising all these things at their proper value, we come to the point where we will endeavor to train and equip 3-million soldiers for an expeditionary force into Europe, hoping that the conquered countries of the continent will join with Britain and the United States in scuttling Naziism.

* * *

Even though 80 per cent of the continentals are opposed to Hitler—as 80 per cent of the American people are opposed to Roosevelt and a shooting war — what's going to happen when the expeditionary force attempts to land somewhere on the coast of Europe?

Hitler knows the secrets of propaganda as well as does Roosevelt. Hitler can play up the imperial designs of the United States and Great Britain.

The result will be that our expeditionary forces will not be received as conquering heroes or deliverers. They will be shot at and killed! Savagery will increase until, eventually, the war will come to an end as all wars end.

Both Hitler and Roosevelt will be defeated—one, perhaps, on the battlefield, and the other by his own people. People always turn on heroes.

Nothing is gained by war that cannot be gained at an honest conference table. Why does not Mr. Roosevelt explore the possibilities of peace with honor before he ventures into war?

* * *

It is easy for us to say that any peace discussed at the moment would be one dictated by Hitler. That is an assumption which cannot be granted until Roosevelt definitely asks Hitler what his terms are.

By a formal inquiry made on the part of the President of the United States to the Chancellor of Germany, definitions can be arrived at. If Hitler persists in being the Lord of the World; if he answers that he will grant no autonomy to France, Belgium, the Netherlands and other conquered nations, then the American people will know precisely where they stand.

Until then, Mr. Roosevelt is taking too many things for granted!

To say that Hitler's word is not worth honoring is a laugh; Mr. Roosevelt's word will not be worth honoring after all the promises he has made to the contrary, if he gets us into a shooting war.

Pot versus kettle!

Will F.D.R. have the frankness and the bigness to make the first move for the salvation of human life and human security? Or, will he continue talking about the "four freedoms" which are not worth the powder to blow them up if there is lack of life and lack of security?

YOU CAN'T TRUST A COMMUNIST

Read: Behind the War — Page 8

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED